

FALTINGS DELTA-INVARIANT AND SEMISTABLE DEGENERATION

ROBIN DE JONG

ABSTRACT. We determine the asymptotics of the Arakelov metric, Arakelov-Green's function, and Faltings delta-invariant, in a one-parameter family of complex curves with semistable degeneration. The leading terms of the asymptotics are controlled by Zhang's theory of admissible pairing on the generic fiber of the family. Our results generalize, and provide a conceptual interpretation of, previous results due to J. Jorgenson and R. Wentworth.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1984 G. Faltings [Fa] introduced the delta-invariant $\delta_F(C)$ of a compact and connected Riemann surface C of positive genus. The invariant appears as an archimedean contribution in the so-called Noether formula for arithmetic surfaces, which we recall briefly.

Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } O_K$ be a semistable curve of positive genus over the ring of integers O_K of a number field K , with smooth total space $\bar{X}$. Let $\bar{\omega}$ be the relative dualizing sheaf of π , equipped with the Arakelov metrics at the archimedean places. Let $\deg \det R\pi_* \bar{\omega}$ be the (non-normalized) Faltings height of $\bar{X}$, and let $(\bar{\omega}, \bar{\omega})$ denote the self-Arakelov intersection of the relative dualizing sheaf of $\bar{X}$. Then the Noether formula is the identity

$$(1.1) \quad 12 \deg \det R\pi_* \bar{\omega} = (\bar{\omega}, \bar{\omega}) + \sum_{\wp} \delta_{\wp}(\bar{X}) \log N_{\wp} + \sum_{\sigma: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}} \delta_F(\bar{X}_{\sigma}(\mathbb{C}))$$

in $\mathbb{R}$, where $\wp$ runs over the nonzero prime ideals of O_K , the non-negative integer $\delta_{\wp}(\bar{X})$ denotes the “discriminant” (i.e., the number of ordinary double points in the geometric fiber) at $\wp$, and $N_{\wp}$ is the norm of $\wp$.

The Noether formula, which is a particular instance of the arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem applied to π , suggests that the delta-invariant $\delta_F(C)$ should be interpreted as an archimedean analogue of the discriminant $\delta_{\wp}(\bar{X})$, and hence as the minus logarithm of a suitable distance of $C \in \mathcal{M}_h(\mathbb{C})$ from the boundary divisor in the Deligne-Mumford compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_h(\mathbb{C})$. For a precise definition of the delta-invariant we refer to Section 6 below.

In [Fa] the question was raised to determine the asymptotic behavior of the delta-invariant towards the boundary divisor in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_h(\mathbb{C})$. Around 1990 J. Jorgenson [Jo] and R. Wentworth [We] were able to treat the case where the degeneration is towards a stable curve with precisely one node. Note that this corresponds to considering a generic point of the boundary divisor in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_h(\mathbb{C})$. Their results can be stated as follows.

Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a stable curve of genus $h > 0$ over the unit disc Δ , with smooth total space. Assume that $\bar{X}$ is smooth over Δ^* and that the special fiber has precisely one node. For two real-valued continuous functions ϕ_1, ϕ_2 on Δ^* we

write $\phi_1 \sim \phi_2$ if the difference $\phi_1 - \phi_2$ extends as a continuous function over Δ . Let $X = \pi^{-1}\Delta^*$. Then in the case when the normalization of the special fiber $\bar{X}_0$ has two connected components of genera i and $h - i$ (the separating case), one has the asymptotics

$$(1.2) \quad \delta_F(X_t) \sim -\frac{4i(h-i)}{h} \log |t|$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. In the case where the normalization of $\bar{X}_0$ is connected (the non-separating case), one has the asymptotics

$$(1.3) \quad \delta_F(X_t) \sim -\frac{4h-1}{3h} \log |t| - 6 \log(-\log |t|)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$.

Now with these results in hand, the question remains what one can say for the cases where the special fiber develops more than one node, i.e. what happens near points in codimension ≥ 2 strata of $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_h(\mathbb{C})$. Second, one would like to know whether there exists a satisfactory conceptual explanation for the leading coefficients appearing in (1.2) and (1.3).

In the present paper we answer both questions. For example, our conceptual explanation of the leading coefficient consists in a comparison with S. Zhang's theory of admissible pairing on a curve [Zh]. Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable curve of positive genus over the unit disc Δ , assumed to be smooth over Δ^* , and write $X = \pi^{-1}\Delta^*$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be the canonically polarized weighted dual graph of the special fiber $\bar{X}_0$. Let δ be the volume of G , and let ε be Zhang's epsilon-invariant (14.4) of G . Let $\Omega(t)$ be the family of normalized period matrices on Δ^* determined by a symplectic framing of $R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_X$. Then the Faltings delta-invariant has asymptotics*

$$(1.4) \quad \delta_F(X_t) \sim -(\delta + \varepsilon) \log |t| - 6 \log \det \operatorname{Im} \Omega(t)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$.

When the total space $\bar{X}$ is smooth, the volume δ of G equals the number of ordinary double points in the special fiber $\bar{X}_0$. The epsilon-invariant ε is a slightly more complicated invariant of G . For a discussion of some of its main properties we refer to Section 14 below. In any case, we always have $\varepsilon \geq 0$, and interestingly, we have $\varepsilon > 0$ unless the special fiber is smooth, or the generic fiber has genus one.

We take a closer look at the $\log \det \operatorname{Im} \Omega(t)$ term at the right hand side of (1.4). The Nilpotent Orbit theorem in asymptotic Hodge theory (see Proposition 12.4 below) yields that

$$\det \operatorname{Im} \Omega(t) \sim -c \log |t|$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We have $c = 0$ if and only if the special fiber $\bar{X}_0$ has only separating nodes, i.e. when the dual graph G is a tree. In this case the $\log \det \operatorname{Im} \Omega(t)$ term in (1.4) can be subsumed under the $\sim$ -sign. In all other cases one can replace $\log \det \operatorname{Im} \Omega(t)$ by $\log(-\log |t|)$.

An explicit calculation of ε for polarized weighted graphs with precisely one edge readily yields the results of Jorgenson and Wentworth stated in (1.2) and (1.3). See for instance the proof of Theorem 1.5 below.

Denote by ω the relative dualizing sheaf of π . Then on the smooth locus $\operatorname{Sm}(\pi)$ of π the line bundle ω coincides with the relative cotangent bundle, and over X the line bundle ω is equipped with a canonical Arakelov metric $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Ar}}$, cf. Section 5

below. Besides the delta-invariant, we also find in this paper the asymptotics of the Arakelov metric and the related Arakelov-Green's function g_{Ar} in the family $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$. Again, there appears to be an immediate connection with Zhang's theory of admissible pairing on a curve.

Theorem 1.2. *Let P be a section of π with image contained in the smooth locus $\text{Sm}(\pi)$ of π . Let G be the canonically polarized weighted dual graph of the special fiber $\bar{X}_0$, and let g_μ be Zhang's Arakelov-Green's function (14.2) of G . Assume that P specializes to the irreducible component $x \in V(G)$.*

(a) Let dz be a local generating section of the relative dualizing sheaf ω around $P(0) \in \text{Sm}(\pi)$. Then the asymptotics

$$\log \|dz(P)\|_{\text{Ar},t} \sim -g_\mu(x, x) \log |t|$$

hold as $t \rightarrow 0$.

(b) Assume that π has a second section Q with image contained in $\text{Sm}(\pi)$ such that $P(0) \neq Q(0)$. Then we have the asymptotics

$$g_{\text{Ar},t}(P, Q) \sim g_\mu(x, y) \log |t|$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. Here $x, y \in V(G)$ denote the irreducible components of $\bar{X}_0$ to which P resp. Q specialize.

In the case where the special fiber $\bar{X}_0$ has precisely one node, Theorem 1.2 reproduces (to leading order) the asymptotics for $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Ar}}$ and g_{Ar} that were found before in [Jo] and [We]. We discuss Zhang's Arakelov-Green's function g_μ extensively in Section 14 below.

One of the tools that we will use is the Lear extension of a hermitian line bundle. Let $\bar{Y} \supset Y$ be complex manifolds, such that $D = \bar{Y} \setminus Y$ is a normal crossings divisor, and let L be a continuous hermitian line bundle on Y . Then, roughly speaking, a Lear extension of L over $\bar{Y}$ is a line bundle $\bar{L}$ over $\bar{Y}$ coinciding with L over Y such that the metric on L extends continuously over $\bar{L}$, at least away from D^{sing} . If a Lear extension exists, it is unique up to isomorphism, as D^{sing} has codimension at least two in $\bar{Y}$.

Another tool that we will use is the Deligne pairing. Assume that $\bar{Y} \supset Y$ are smooth complex algebraic varieties. Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be a semistable curve, which we assume to be smooth over Y , and write $X = \pi^{-1}Y$. Let L, M be line bundles on $\bar{X}$. The Deligne pairing associates to L, M in a functorial and bilinear way a line bundle $\langle L, M \rangle$ on $\bar{Y}$. Assuming that L, M both carry given C^∞ hermitian metrics over X , then the Deligne pairing $\langle L, M \rangle$ over Y is endowed with a canonical C^∞ hermitian metric.

Following traditional notation, we set $\kappa_1 = \langle \omega, \omega \rangle$. If $P: \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ is a section of π , with image contained in $\text{Sm}(\pi)$, we moreover put $\psi = P^*\omega$, which as we will see can be identified with the Deligne pairing $\langle \mathcal{O}(P), \omega \rangle$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}$ the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(P)$ on X endowed with its C^∞ hermitian metric derived from the Arakelov-Green's function g_{Ar} , and we denote by ω_{Ar} the line bundle ω on X endowed with the Arakelov metric $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Ar}}$.

We will deduce Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 from the following main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.3. *(a) Let $\bar{Y} \supset Y$ be smooth complex algebraic varieties, such that $D = \bar{Y} \setminus Y$ is a normal crossings divisor. Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be a semistable curve of positive genus, which we assume to be smooth over Y . Then the C^∞ hermitian*

line bundle $\langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$ on Y has a Lear extension over $\bar{Y}$. Assuming that π has one section P resp. two sections P, Q with image contained in $\text{Sm}(\pi)$, then also the C^∞ hermitian line bundles $\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$ resp. $\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$ on Y have a Lear extension over $\bar{Y}$.

(b) Assume that $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ is a semistable curve of positive genus over the unit disc. Let G be the canonically polarized weighted dual graph of the special fiber $\bar{X}_0$, and let ε be Zhang's epsilon-invariant of G . Then the Lear extension of $\langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$ over Δ satisfies the equality

$$(1.5) \quad \overline{\langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} = \kappa_1 - \varepsilon[0].$$

(c) Assume that $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ is equipped with a section P with image contained in $\text{Sm}(\pi)$, such that P specializes to $x \in V(G)$. Let g_μ be Zhang's Arakelov-Green's function of G . Then the Lear extension of $\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$ over Δ satisfies the equality

$$(1.6) \quad \overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} = \psi - g_\mu(x, x)[0].$$

(d) Assume that $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ is equipped with two sections P, Q with image passing through $\text{Sm}(\pi)$. Assume that P, Q specialize to $x \in V(G)$ resp. $y \in V(G)$. Then the Lear extension of $\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$ over Δ satisfies the equality

$$(1.7) \quad \overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} = \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \mathcal{O}(Q) \rangle + g_\mu(x, y)[0].$$

Theorem 1.3 implies that in the case where $\bar{Y}$ is a curve, the occurring Lear extensions are precisely calculated by Zhang's theory of admissible line bundles, and their Deligne pairing. We will review this theory in Section 15 below.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be a semistable curve of positive genus over a complex algebraic curve $\bar{Y}$. Assume that π is smooth over the dense open subset $Y \subset \bar{Y}$. Let ω_a be Zhang's admissible relative dualizing sheaf of π . Then the equality*

$$\overline{\langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} = \langle \omega_a, \omega_a \rangle$$

holds. Suppose that π has one section P resp. two sections P, Q with image contained in $\text{Sm}(\pi)$, then we further have

$$\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} = \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_a, \omega_a \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} = \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_a, \mathcal{O}(Q)_a \rangle.$$

Here $\mathcal{O}(P)_a, \mathcal{O}(Q)_a$ denote the canonical admissible line bundles on $\bar{X}$ associated to the sections P resp. Q .

Another interesting case is where the inclusion $Y \subset \bar{Y}$ is the inclusion of the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{h,n}$ inside its Deligne-Mumford compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,n}$. Recall that each irreducible component of the boundary normal crossings divisor D of $\mathcal{M}_{h,n}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,n}$ has as generic point a stable n -pointed curve of genus h with precisely one node. Following traditional notation, we denote by δ_0 the class in $\text{Pic}(\bar{Y})$ of the irreducible component of D whose generic point is an irreducible n -pointed stable curve with precisely one node. Let $I = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be the set of marked points of a generic n -pointed stable curve of genus h , and $P \subset I$ a subset with $|P| \geq 2$. Then we denote by δ_0^P the class of the irreducible component of D whose generic point is reducible with two connected components joined at a node, one of which has genus zero, and where the points in P lie on the genus zero component, and the points in $I \setminus P$ lie on the other (genus h) component. Finally, if $P \subset I$ is any subset and i an integer with $1 \leq i \leq h$ then by δ_i^P we denote the class of the irreducible component

of D whose generic point is reducible with two connected components joined at a node, one of genus i carrying the marked points from P , and one of genus $h - i$ carrying the marked points from $I \setminus P$.

Theorem 1.3 together with a direct calculation of ε and g_μ for polarized weighted graphs with precisely one edge yields the following result.

Theorem 1.5. *Let ω_{Ar} denote the relative dualizing sheaf of the universal curve $\mathcal{M}_{h,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_h$, endowed with the Arakelov metric. Let $\langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$ on $\mathcal{M}_h(\mathbb{C})$ denote the Deligne pairing of ω_{Ar} with itself. Then on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_h(\mathbb{C})$ we have the identity*

$$\overline{\langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} = \kappa_1 - \frac{h-1}{3h} \delta_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{[h/2]} \left(\frac{4i(h-i)}{h} - 1 \right) \delta_i.$$

Next, on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,1}(\mathbb{C})$ we have the identity

$$\overline{\omega_{\text{Ar}}} = \psi - \frac{1}{12h^2} \delta_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \frac{(h-i)^2}{h^2} \delta_i^{\{x\}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{O}(\Delta)_{\text{Ar}}$ denote the trivial line bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{h,2}(\mathbb{C})$ with hermitian metric given by $\log \|1\|(C; P, Q) = g_{\text{Ar}, C}(P, Q)$ on a 2-pointed Riemann surface $(C; P, Q)$. Then on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,2}(\mathbb{C})$ we have the identity

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}(\Delta)_{\text{Ar}}} = \delta_0^{\{x_1, x_2\}} + \frac{1}{12h^2} \delta_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \frac{i(h-i)}{h^2} \delta_i^{\{x_1\}} + \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \frac{(h-i)^2}{h^2} \delta_i^{\{x_1, x_2\}}.$$

We briefly describe the structure of the proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $j: J \rightarrow Y$ be the jacobian fibration associated to the smooth proper curve $X \rightarrow Y$. Assume from the outset that π has a section $P: \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$. Let $\delta_P: X \rightarrow J$ be the Abel-Jacobi map associated to the section P , i.e. the Y -morphism $X \rightarrow J$ that sends a point $z \in X_y$ to the divisor class of $P_y - z$ in $J_y = \text{Jac}(X_y)$. Let $h > 0$ denote the genus of the fibers of π and let $\kappa: Y \rightarrow J$ denote the morphism that sends $y \in Y$ to the divisor class of the degree zero line bundle $(2h-2)P_y - \omega_{X_y}$ in J_y . As jacobians are canonically self-dual, the product $J \times_Y J$ carries a canonical Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{P}$, together with a rigidification along the zero section. By general results [Ha13] [HdJ], the Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{P}$ carries a canonical C^∞ hermitian metric compatible with the rigidification. We denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the restriction of $\mathcal{P}$ to the diagonal in $J \times_Y J$. From these data, we obtain a canonical C^∞ hermitian line bundle $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$ on X and a canonical C^∞ hermitian line bundle $\kappa^* \mathcal{B}$ on Y .

The key to our argument is the observation (see Propositions 8.4 and 8.5) that there exist canonical isometries

$$(1.8) \quad \langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes 4h} \otimes \langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes 4h^2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle \otimes \kappa^* \mathcal{B}$$

of C^∞ hermitian line bundles over Y . Equations (1.8) and (1.9) imply that the C^∞ hermitian line bundles $\langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$ have a Lear extension over $\bar{Y}$ as soon as both $\langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle$ and $\kappa^* \mathcal{B}$ have a Lear extension over $\bar{Y}$. It follows from general results [Le] [Ha13] that $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$ and $\kappa^* \mathcal{B}$ have a Lear extension over $\bar{X}$ resp. $\bar{Y}$ (in the case of $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$, we need to assume that $\bar{X}$ is smooth). We compute them explicitly in Section 10.

The technical heart of this paper consists then of a proof that the Deligne pairing $\langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle$ has a Lear extension over $\bar{Y}$. We will see that the naive expectation that $\langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle = \langle \bar{\delta}_P^* \mathcal{B}, \bar{\delta}_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle$ fails in general, due to the so-called “height jump” of the metric on $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$ around the double points of the singular fibers of $\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$. This phenomenon has been observed and described in a fairly general context by R. Hain in [Ha13, Section 14]. We are able to control the height jump in our case, and an elaborate calculation allows us then to relate the defect between $\langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle$ and $\langle \bar{\delta}_P^* \mathcal{B}, \bar{\delta}_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle$ to the combinatorics of the dual graph of the special fiber.

From Theorem 1.3 one easily derives Theorem 1.2. In order to prove our asymptotic formula (1.4) for δ_F we need to combine Mumford’s functorial Riemann-Roch isomorphism (6.8), the Nilpotent Orbit theorem (cf. Proposition 12.4), and equation (1.5) from the main result. In particular, we avoid the use of the “spin-bosonization formula” [Fa, p. 402] for δ_F that was crucial in both [Jo] and [We].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we discuss some preliminary material around graphs, metrized graphs and semistable curves. These sections may presumably be safely skipped on first reading. In Section 4 we discuss various useful ways of describing the jacobian of a compact and connected Riemann surface. Then in Section 5 we introduce the Arakelov-Green’s function g_{Ar} and the associated Arakelov metric $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Ar}}$. The Deligne pairing together with its canonical metrization and the Faltings delta-invariant are introduced in Sections 6 and 7. Our first key identities, including (1.8) and (1.9), involving Deligne pairings are then proven in Section 8.

Section 9 is an introductory section on Lear extensions. In Sections 10 and 11 we show the existence of the Lear extensions of some relevant Deligne pairings, and compute them explicitly in the case of semistable curves over the unit disc. Section 12 is again of an introductory nature. Its main aim is to recall a Nilpotent Orbit theorem for admissible graded-polarized variations of mixed Hodge structures, due to G. Pearlstein, and to deduce from it some consequences for the asymptotics of the period and Abel-Jacobi map in families of (pointed) compact Riemann surfaces.

In Sections 13–15 we recall from [Zh] the main elements from Zhang’s theory of admissible pairing on a curve, adapted to our setting of semistable curves over the unit disc. Then in Section 16 we prove our main auxiliary result computing the Lear extension of $\langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle$ as discussed above. In Section 17 we give our proof of the main result Theorem 1.3. Finally in Section 18 we derive the other results announced above as corollaries of Theorem 1.3.

2. GRAPHS, METRIZED GRAPHS

We base our discussion on [BF, Section 2]. A finite graph G consists of a finite set $E(G)$ and a non-empty finite set $V(G)$ together with a map of sets $j: E(G) \rightarrow (V(G) \times V(G))/\mathfrak{S}_2$. We call $E(G)$ the set of edges of G , and $V(G)$ the set of vertices of G . A divisor on G is an element of $\mathbb{R}^{V(G)}$. We say that G is connected if for each pair x, y of distinct vertices there exists a sequence of vertices $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = y$ and a sequence of edges $e_1, \dots, e_n$ such that $j(e_i) = \{x_{i-1}, x_i\}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

A lift $i: E(G) \rightarrow V(G) \times V(G)$ of the vertex assignment map j is called an orientation of G , and will usually be denoted by $e \mapsto (e^-, e^+)$. We call $e^- \in V(G)$ the tail vertex of e , and $e^+ \in V(G)$ the head vertex.

A metrized graph Γ is a compact connected metric space such that for each $x \in \Gamma$ there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that x has a neighborhood isometric to the set

$$S(n, \epsilon) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z = te^{2\pi ik/n} \text{ for some } 0 \leq t < \epsilon \text{ and some } k \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

endowed with its path metric. If Γ is a metric graph, then for each $x \in \Gamma$ the integer n is uniquely determined, and is called the valence of x . An orientation of Γ is an oriented simplicial decomposition of Γ . Let $V_0 \subset \Gamma$ be the set of points $x \in \Gamma$ with valency $\neq 2$. This is a finite set. We call any finite non-empty set $V \subset \Gamma$ containing V_0 a vertex set of Γ .

If V is a vertex set of Γ then $\Gamma \setminus V$ is a finite union of open intervals. The closure of a connected component of $\Gamma \setminus V$ is called an edge associated to V . Let E be the set of edges associated to V . For $e \in E$ we call $e \setminus e^\circ$ the set of endpoints of e . This is a finite set consisting of either one (when e is a loop) or two (when e is a closed interval) elements. If Γ is oriented, each set of endpoints is an ordered subset $\{e^-, e^+\}$ of V . We denote by $\ell(e)$ the length of an edge e , and by $\delta(\Gamma) = \sum_{e \in E} \ell(e)$ the volume of Γ .

A metrized graph Γ with vertex set V can be viewed as an electrical network by identifying each element of V with a node, and each edge $e \in E$ with a wire of resistance $\ell(e)$. In particular, when x, y are points of Γ , we have the effective resistance $r(x, y)$ between x and y . We refer to [CR, Section 2], [Zh, Propositions 3.2 and 3.3] for a discussion of some of its main properties. A divisor on Γ is an element of $\mathbb{R}^V$.

Let G be a finite connected graph with set of edges $E(G)$ and set of vertices $V(G)$, and let M be a set. An M -labelling of G is any map $\ell: E(G) \rightarrow M$. When (G, ℓ) is an M -labelled graph, a map $f: M \rightarrow N$ of sets canonically yields an N -labelled graph $(G, f\ell)$ by composition. When M is a subset of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we call (G, ℓ) a weighted graph.

Let $(G, \ell: E(G) \rightarrow M \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0})$ be a finite connected weighted graph. Then to (G, ℓ) is naturally associated a metric graph Γ by glueing the closed intervals $[0, \ell(e)]$, where e runs through $E(G)$, according to the vertex assignment map. The metric graph Γ is equipped with a distinguished vertex set $V(G) \subset \Gamma$. The valence of a vertex $x \in V(G)$ is to be its valence as a point on Γ .

3. SEMISTABLE CURVES

In this paper, a curve C over a separably algebraically closed field is called semistable if C is connected, reduced, projective, and each singular point of C is an ordinary double point. When C is a semistable curve over a separably algebraically closed field, the dual graph G associated to C is the finite connected graph whose vertex set $V(G)$ consists of the irreducible components of C , and whose edge set $E(G)$ consists of the singular points of C . The vertex assignment map is given by sending a singular point $e \in E(G)$ to the set of irreducible components of C that e lies on.

A morphism of schemes $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ is called a semistable curve if π is proper, flat and finitely presented, and all geometric fibers of π are semistable curves. For a semistable curve $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ we write $\text{Sm}(\pi)$ for the locus where π is smooth, and $\text{Sing}(\pi)$ for the locus where π is not smooth. The singular locus $\text{Sing}(\pi)$ of π is a closed subscheme of $\bar{X}$ which is finite unramified over $\bar{Y}$.

When $\bar{Y}$ is a complex algebraic variety, we will often denote also by $\bar{Y}$ the corresponding analytic space obtained by taking its $\mathbb{C}$ -valued points. A basic reference for the complex analytic theory of semistable curves is [ACG]. In the present paper, a semistable curve $\bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta^n$ over the polydisc Δ^n of dimension n is the base change, along a holomorphic map $f: \Delta^n \rightarrow \bar{Y}$, of a semistable curve over a complex algebraic variety $\bar{Y}$.

Let $\bar{Y}$ be a smooth complex algebraic variety. Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be a semistable curve, and let $y \in \bar{Y}$ be a (closed) point. Then following [Ho, Section 2] we associate to y a canonical labelled graph (G_y, ℓ_y) as follows. The underlying graph G_y is the dual graph of the complex semistable curve $\bar{X}_y$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},y}$ be the local ring for the holomorphic structure sheaf of $\bar{Y}$ at y . The value set of ℓ_y is then $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},y}/\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},y}^*$, and the labelling $\ell_y: E(G_y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},y}/\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},y}^*$ is given by the following procedure.

Let $e \in E(G_y)$ be a singular point of $\bar{X}_y$. The local structure of semistable curves in the analytic category (cf. [ACG, Proposition 2.1]) yields that e on $\bar{X}$ has a neighborhood which is isomorphic, as an analytic space over $\bar{Y}$, to a neighborhood of $(0, y)$ in the analytic subspace of $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \bar{Y}$ with equation $xy = a$, for some $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},y}$. The function a is well-defined up to units in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},y}$. We set $\ell_y(e)$ to be the class of a in $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},y}/\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},y}^*$. If $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},y}$ is a discrete valuation ring, and $a \neq 0$, then we usually think of $\ell_y(e) \in \mathbb{N}$ as the normalized discrete valuation of a . In particular, the graph G_y is canonically weighted in this case.

As is almost clear from the definitions, the canonical labelled graph is functorial with respect to pullback along morphisms of smooth complex algebraic varieties.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\bar{f}: \bar{T} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be a morphism of smooth complex algebraic varieties, and denote by $\bar{Z} \rightarrow \bar{T}$ the base change of $\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ along $\bar{f}$. Let $t \in \bar{T}$ be a closed point and set $y = \bar{f}(t) \in \bar{Y}$. Let $\bar{f}^\#: \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{T},t}$ be the induced local homomorphism of local rings. Then the canonical labelled graph for the semistable curve $\bar{Z} \rightarrow \bar{T}$ associated to $t \in \bar{T}$ has underlying graph G_y , and has labelling the composite labelling determined by the map $\bar{f}^\#: \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},y}/\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y},y}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{T},t}/\mathcal{O}_{\bar{T},t}^*$.*

Note that, in particular, Proposition 3.1 allows us to speak about the canonical labelled graphs associated to the fibers of a semistable curve $\bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta^n$ over a polydisc. When $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ is a semistable curve over the unit disc, the canonical weighted graph behaves well with respect to minimal desingularization of $\bar{X}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable curve, assumed to be smooth over Δ^* . Let $\tilde{\bar{X}} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the minimal desingularization of $\bar{X}$. Let $G, \tilde{G}$ be the canonically weighted dual graphs of $\bar{X}_0$ resp. $\tilde{\bar{X}}_0$. Then the associated metric graphs of G and $\tilde{G}$ are canonically isometric.*

Proof. Let t be the coordinate on the unit disc. Let $e \in E(G)$ be a singular point of $\bar{X}_0$. A local equation of $\bar{X}$ around e is then $xy - t^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and for instance the proof of [DM, Lemma 1.12] shows that the local minimal desingularization of $\bar{X}$ at e replaces e by a chain of $n - 1$ projective lines. That is, upon passing from G to $\tilde{G}$, the edge e of length n in the metric graph associated to G is subdivided into n segments of unit length. $\square$

4. JACOBIANS

The purpose of this preliminary section is to discuss various ways of defining the jacobian of a pure Hodge structure of type $\{(-1, 0), (0, -1)\}$, as well as their interrelations. At the end of this section we discuss the Abel-Jacobi element associated to two points P, Q on a compact and connected Riemann surface C in each of the various disguises of $\text{Jac}(C)$. The material in this section is entirely classical.

Let $(V, F^\bullet)$ be a pure Hodge structure of type $\{(-1, 0), (0, -1)\}$. We call the set $\text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^1(\mathbb{Z}(0), V)$ of extensions of $\mathbb{Z}(0)$ by V in the category of mixed Hodge structures the (intermediate) jacobian of $(V, F^\bullet)$. By [PS, Section 3.5] we have a canonical bijection $\text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^1(\mathbb{Z}(0), V) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\mathbb{C}}/(V + F^0 V_{\mathbb{C}})$, and this gives the jacobian of $(V, F^\bullet)$ a natural structure of complex torus.

Assume that $(V, F^\bullet, Q)$ is a polarized Hodge structure of type $\{(-1, 0), (0, -1)\}$ and rank $2h$. Given a symplectic basis $(e_1, \dots, e_h, f_1, \dots, f_h)$ of (V, Q) , there exists a unique associated normalized basis $(w_1, \dots, w_h)$ of $F^0 V_{\mathbb{C}}$, determined by demanding that $w_i = -\sum_{j=1}^h \Omega_{ij} e_j + f_i$ for some matrix Ω . We call Ω the period matrix of $(V, F^\bullet, Q)$ with respect to $(e_1, \dots, e_h, f_1, \dots, f_h)$. The Riemann bilinear relations imply that $\Omega^t = \Omega$ and $\text{Im } \Omega > 0$, so that Ω lies in Siegel's upper half space U_h of degree h .

Assume an extension

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(0) \rightarrow 0$$

of mixed Hodge structures is given, i.e. an element of $\text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^1(\mathbb{Z}(0), V)$. Then V' has weight filtration

$$W_\bullet: \quad 0 \subset W_{-1} = V \subset W_0 = V'.$$

We denote by $F'^\bullet$ the Hodge filtration of V' . Taking $F'^0(-)_{\mathbb{C}}$ in (4.1) then yields the extension

$$0 \rightarrow F^0 V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow F'^0 V'_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0$$

of $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces. As can be readily checked, for each $e_0 \in V'$ that lifts the canonical generator of $\mathbb{Z}(0)$ in (4.1) there exists a unique $w_0 \in F'^0 V'_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $w_0 \in e_0 + \mathbb{C}\text{-span}(e_1, \dots, e_h)$. Given such a lift e_0 , we let $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_h) \in \mathbb{C}^h$ be the coordinate vector determined by the identity $w_0 = e_0 + \sum_{j=1}^h \delta_j e_j$. We call δ the period vector of the mixed Hodge structure $(V', F'^\bullet, W_\bullet)$ on the basis $(e_0, e_1, \dots, e_h, f_1, \dots, f_h)$ of the $\mathbb{Z}$ -module V' . It can be verified that replacing e_0 by an element from $e_0 + V$ changes δ by an element of $\mathbb{Z}^h + \Omega \mathbb{Z}^h$. The resulting map $\text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^1(\mathbb{Z}(0), V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^h/(\mathbb{Z}^h + \Omega \mathbb{Z}^h)$ is a bijection, compatible with the canonical structure of complex torus on $\text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^1(\mathbb{Z}(0), V)$.

Let C be a compact and connected Riemann surface of genus h . Then its first homology group $V = H_1(C)$ carries a canonical pure polarized Hodge structure of type $\{(-1, 0), (0, -1)\}$. The polarization is given by the intersection form Q . The Hodge filtration on $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be described as follows. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of harmonic 1-forms on C . The integration map $\mathcal{H} \otimes V_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ yields a natural identification $V_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^*$. Let $\omega(C) \subset \mathcal{H}$ denote the subspace of holomorphic 1-forms on C , and $\overline{\omega(C)} \subset \mathcal{H}$ the subspace of anti-holomorphic 1-forms. We have a natural decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \omega(C) \oplus \overline{\omega(C)}$ and similarly $\mathcal{H}^* = \omega(C)^* \oplus \overline{\omega(C)}^*$. Then $F^0 V_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the subspace of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ corresponding to $\omega(C)^*$ under the isomorphism $V_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}^*$.

We write $\text{Jac}(C)$ as a shorthand for the jacobian of $(V, F^\bullet)$. The standard pairing $H_1(C) \otimes H^1(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ induces an isomorphism $V_{\mathbb{C}}/F^0 V_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} F^0 H^1(C)^* = \omega(C)^*$. Then the inclusion $V \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}}/F^0 V_{\mathbb{C}}$ corresponds to the integration map $V \rightarrow \omega(C)^*$ given by $c \mapsto \int_c$. We obtain a third useful description of $\text{Jac}(C) = V_{\mathbb{C}}/(V + F^0 V_{\mathbb{C}})$ as the complex torus $\omega(C)^*/V$.

For $P, Q \in C$ we write $V(P, Q)$ as a shorthand for the relative homology group $H_1(C, \{P, Q\})$, endowed with its canonical mixed Hodge structure. We obtain an extension of mixed Hodge structures

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V(P, Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(0) \rightarrow 0$$

canonically associated to the divisor $P - Q$. Here the $\mathbb{Z}(0)$ on the right hand side is to be identified with the reduced homology group $\tilde{H}_0(\{P, Q\})$, with the class of $P - Q$ corresponding to the positive generator of $\mathbb{Z}$. We call the resulting element of $\text{Jac}(C) = \text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^1(\mathbb{Z}(0), V)$ the Abel-Jacobi element associated to the divisor $P - Q$, denoted by $\int_Q^P$. Under the identification $\text{Jac}(C) = \omega(C)^*/V$ the Abel-Jacobi element corresponds to the element that is usually denoted by $\int_Q^P$. If Ω is a period matrix of C on a chosen symplectic basis of V , with normalized basis $(w_1, \dots, w_h)$ of $F^0 V_{\mathbb{C}} = \omega(C)^*$, the Abel-Jacobi element in $\mathbb{C}^h/(\mathbb{Z}^h + \Omega\mathbb{Z}^h)$ associated to the divisor $P - Q$ is given by the vector $\int_Q^P(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_h)$, where $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_h)$ is the dual basis of $(w_1, \dots, w_h)$.

5. ARAKELOV GREEN'S FUNCTION AND ARAKELOV METRIC

In this section we introduce the Arakelov-Green's function g_{Ar} of a compact and connected Riemann surface of positive genus, see (5.3) and (5.4). Also we introduce the Arakelov metric $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Ar}}$ on the line bundle of holomorphic differentials. The main references for this section are [Ar] and [Fa].

Let C be a compact and connected Riemann surface of genus $h > 0$. Denote by ω the line bundle of holomorphic differentials on C . On $\omega(C)$ we have a natural hermitian inner product via the prescription

$$(5.1) \quad \langle \eta, \eta' \rangle = \frac{i}{2} \int_C \eta \wedge \bar{\eta}'.$$

Let $(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_h)$ be an orthonormal basis of $\omega(C)$. The Arakelov $(1, 1)$ -form of C is defined to be the element

$$(5.2) \quad \mu_{\text{Ar}} = \frac{i}{2h} \sum_{j=1}^h \eta_j \wedge \bar{\eta}_j$$

of $A^2(C)$. It follows from the Riemann-Roch theorem that μ_{Ar} is actually a volume form. Moreover, the Arakelov $(1, 1)$ -form is clearly normalized such that $\int_C \mu_{\text{Ar}} = 1$.

The Arakelov Green's function is the generalized function on $C \times C$ determined by the conditions

$$(5.3) \quad \partial \bar{\partial}_z g_{\text{Ar}}(P, z) = \pi i (\mu_{\text{Ar}}(z) - \delta_P(z))$$

and

$$(5.4) \quad \int_C g_{\text{Ar}}(P, z) \mu_{\text{Ar}}(z) = 0$$

for all $P \in C$. An application of Stokes's theorem shows that one has a symmetry property

$$(5.5) \quad g_{\text{Ar}}(P, Q) = g_{\text{Ar}}(Q, P)$$

for all distinct P, Q in C . We have a local expansion

$$(5.6) \quad g_{\text{Ar}}(P, Q) = \log |t(P) - t(Q)| + O(|t(P) - t(Q)|)$$

for all distinct P, Q in a coordinate chart $t: U \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta$ of C . In this expansion, the O -term is a C^∞ function. Apparently, the Arakelov-Green's function $g_{\text{Ar}}(P, \cdot)$ develops a logarithmic singularity of order one at P .

The Arakelov Green's function g_{Ar} induces a natural C^∞ hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$ on the holomorphic line bundle $L = \mathcal{O}_{C \times C}(\Delta)$ on $C \times C$, where Δ is the diagonal, by putting $\log \|1\|(P, Q) = g_{\text{Ar}}(P, Q)$ for distinct P, Q in C . Here 1 denotes the canonical generating section of $\mathcal{O}_{C \times C}(\Delta)$. By restriction to vertical or horizontal slices of $C \times C$ we obtain natural C^∞ hermitian metrics on the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_C(P)$ for each $P \in C$.

There exists a canonical adjunction isomorphism

$$(5.7) \quad \mathcal{O}_{C \times C}(-\Delta)|_\Delta \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega.$$

By this isomorphism one obtains a canonical C^∞ residual metric $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Ar}}$ on ω . We call $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Ar}}$ the Arakelov metric, and we usually write ω_{Ar} to denote the line bundle ω equipped with the Arakelov metric. A C^∞ hermitian line bundle $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ on C is called admissible if its first Chern form $c_1(L, \|\cdot\|)$ is a multiple of μ_{Ar} .

Proposition 5.1. *Each $\mathcal{O}_C(P)$ with its metric derived from g_{Ar} is admissible. Also the canonical line bundle ω_{Ar} endowed with the Arakelov metric is admissible.*

Proof. The first statement is clear by (5.3). The second statement is in [Ar, Section 4]. $\square$

Let Ω be a period matrix of C on a normalised basis of differentials $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_h)$ (see Section 4). Then we have

$$(5.8) \quad (\text{Im } \Omega)_{jk} = \frac{i}{2} \int_C \omega_j \wedge \bar{\omega}_k = \langle \omega_j, \omega_k \rangle.$$

This gives the useful formulas

$$(5.9) \quad \mu_{\text{Ar}} = \frac{i}{2h} \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq h} (\text{Im } \Omega)_{jk}^{-1} \omega_j \wedge \bar{\omega}_k$$

and

$$(5.10) \quad \|\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_h\|_H^2 = \det \text{Im } \Omega,$$

see for instance [We, Section 2]. Here $\|\cdot\|_H$ is the norm on $\det \omega(C)$ induced by the inner product (5.1).

Let $J = \mathbb{C}^h / (\mathbb{Z}^h + \Omega \mathbb{Z}^h)$ be the jacobian of C . The intersection form on $H_1(C)$ gives rise to a canonical isomorphism between J and its dual torus $\bar{J}$. It follows that $J \times J$ carries a canonical Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{P}$ together with a rigidification at the origin. We recall that $\mathcal{P}$ carries a canonical hermitian metric, compatible with the rigidification. We denote then by $\mathcal{B}$ the C^∞ hermitian line bundle on J obtained by restricting $\mathcal{P}$ to the diagonal. By a slight abuse of language, we will refer to $\mathcal{B}$ as the Poincaré line bundle on J .

Proposition 5.2. *Let $p: \mathbb{C}^h \rightarrow J$ be the projection, and let $U \subset J$ be an analytic open subset. Let s be a holomorphic generating section of $\mathcal{B}$ over U , and write $f = (p|_{p^{-1}U})^* s \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^h}(p^{-1}U)$. Then for all $z \in p^{-1}U$ we have*

$$\log \|s\|(p(z)) = \log |f|(z) - 2\pi(\operatorname{Im} z)^t (\operatorname{Im} \Omega)^{-1} (\operatorname{Im} z).$$

For the first Chern form $c_1(\mathcal{B})$ of $\mathcal{B}$ the identity

$$c_1(\mathcal{B}) = \frac{-1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} 2\pi(\operatorname{Im} z)^t (\operatorname{Im} \Omega)^{-1} (\operatorname{Im} z)$$

of $(1,1)$ -forms holds on J .

Proof. For the first formula we refer to [HdJ, Proposition 3.3]. The second formula follows from the first since locally on U we can write

$$c_1(\mathcal{B})|_U = \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \|s\|,$$

and the $\partial \bar{\partial}$ of $\log |f|$ vanishes. $\square$

For $P \in C$ we denote by $\delta_P: C \rightarrow J$ the Abel-Jacobi map that sends

$$(5.11) \quad Q \mapsto \int_Q^P (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_h).$$

Corollary 5.3. *For all $P \in C$ the equalities*

$$c_1(\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}) = \frac{-1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} 2\pi(\operatorname{Im} \delta_P)^t (\operatorname{Im} \Omega)^{-1} (\operatorname{Im} \delta_P) = 2h \mu_{\operatorname{Ar}}$$

of $(1,1)$ -forms hold. In particular $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$ is admissible.

Proof. The first equality is clear from Proposition 5.2. From (5.11) we find

$$2i \partial(\operatorname{Im} \delta_P)_j = \omega_j, \quad -2i \bar{\partial}(\operatorname{Im} \delta_P)_k = \bar{\omega}_k$$

and hence

$$4 \partial(\operatorname{Im} \delta_P)_j \wedge \bar{\partial}(\operatorname{Im} \delta_P)_k = \omega_j \wedge \bar{\omega}_k.$$

This then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} 2\pi(\operatorname{Im} \delta_P)^t (\operatorname{Im} \Omega)^{-1} (\operatorname{Im} \delta_P) &= 4i \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq h} (\operatorname{Im} \Omega)_{jk}^{-1} \partial(\operatorname{Im} \delta_P)_j \wedge \bar{\partial}(\operatorname{Im} \delta_P)_k \\ &= i \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq h} (\operatorname{Im} \Omega)_{jk}^{-1} \omega_j \wedge \bar{\omega}_k. \end{aligned}$$

The latter form is equal to $2h \mu_{\operatorname{Ar}}$ by equation (5.9). $\square$

6. DELIGNE PAIRING

The purpose of this section is to introduce the Deligne pairing $\langle L, M \rangle$ associated to a pair L, M of line bundles on the total space of a semistable curve. We include a discussion of the relation with the determinant of cohomology.

Let $\bar{X}$ and $\bar{Y}$ be schemes and let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be a semistable curve. We refer to Section 3 for precise definitions. Then following [ACG, Section XIII.5] and [De] we have a canonical bilinear Deligne pairing for line bundles L, M on $\bar{X}$, resulting in a line bundle $\langle L, M \rangle$ on $\bar{Y}$. Locally on an open set $U \subset \bar{Y}$ the line bundle $\langle L, M \rangle$ is generated by symbols $\langle \ell, m \rangle$ with ℓ a nonzero rational section of L on $\pi^{-1}U$ and

m a nonzero rational section of M on $\pi^{-1}U$, such that the divisors of ℓ, m on $\bar{X}$ have disjoint support. We have the relations

$$(6.1) \quad \langle \ell, fm \rangle = f(\operatorname{div} \ell) \langle \ell, m \rangle, \quad \langle f\ell, m \rangle = f(\operatorname{div} m) \langle \ell, m \rangle$$

for rational functions f on $\bar{X}$. Here, the function $f(\operatorname{div} \ell)$ should be interpreted as coming from a norm: when D is an effective relative Cartier divisor on $\bar{X}$, then we put $f(D) = \operatorname{Nm}_{D/\bar{Y}}(f)$. The Weil reciprocity law $f(\operatorname{div} g) = g(\operatorname{div} f)$ shows that this construction by generators and relations indeed gives a line bundle on $\bar{Y}$.

It is clear that the formation of the Deligne pairing of two line bundles L, M on $\bar{X}$ is compatible with base change. Moreover, let P be a section of π with image contained in the smooth locus $\operatorname{Sm}(\pi)$ of π , and let N be a line bundle on $\bar{Y}$. Then we have canonical isomorphisms

$$(6.2) \quad \langle M, \pi^* N \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} N^{\otimes \deg M}, \quad \langle L, M \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle M, L \rangle, \quad \langle \mathcal{O}(P), M \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} P^* M$$

of line bundles on $\bar{Y}$.

Let ω be the relative dualizing sheaf of π . Then ω is a line bundle on $\bar{X}$ by [DM, Section 1]. For any section $P: \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ with image contained in $\operatorname{Sm}(\pi)$ we have a canonical adjunction isomorphism

$$(6.3) \quad \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \mathcal{O}(P) \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \omega \rangle^{\otimes -1}.$$

Let L be a line bundle on $\bar{X}$. We have the following relation with the determinant of cohomology $\det R\pi_* L$ of L as defined in [KM] (see also [ACG, Section XIII.4] and [De]). First of all, if $P: \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ is a section of π , then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(6.4) \quad \det R\pi_*(L(P)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \det R\pi_* L \otimes \langle L, \mathcal{O}(P) \rangle$$

of line bundles on $\bar{Y}$. Next, we have a Serre duality isomorphism

$$(6.5) \quad \det R\pi_* L \xrightarrow{\sim} \det R\pi_*(\omega \otimes L^{\otimes -1}).$$

Finally, we have a canonical isomorphism (see [ACG, Theorem XIII.5.8] or [MB85, Section 2.9])

$$(6.6) \quad \langle L, M \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \det R\pi_*(L \otimes M) \otimes (\det R\pi_* L)^{\otimes -1} \otimes (\det R\pi_* M)^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det R\pi_* \omega.$$

Combining (6.5) and (6.6) with $M = \omega \otimes L^{-1}$ one obtains a canonical isomorphism

$$(6.7) \quad (\det R\pi_* L)^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle L, L \otimes \omega^{\otimes -1} \rangle \otimes (\det R\pi_* \omega)^{\otimes 2}.$$

Let δ denote the discriminant line bundle on $\bar{Y}$ induced from the boundary divisor of $\mathcal{M}_h$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_h$ via the classifying map $\bar{Y} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_h$. Mumford's functorial Riemann-Roch [Mu77a, Theorem 5.10] gives a canonical isomorphism, up to sign

$$(6.8) \quad \det R\pi_*(\omega^{\otimes 2}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\det R\pi_* \omega)^{\otimes 13} \otimes \delta^{-1}.$$

From (6.6) we obtain a canonical isomorphism

$$\langle \omega, \omega \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \det R\pi_*(\omega^{\otimes 2}) \otimes (\det R\pi_* \omega)^{\otimes -1}.$$

Combining this with (6.8) we obtain a canonical isomorphism, up to sign

$$(6.9) \quad \mu: (\det R\pi_* \omega)^{\otimes 12} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \omega, \omega \rangle \otimes \delta$$

of line bundles on $\bar{Y}$. We will refer to μ as the Mumford isomorphism.

7. METRIZATION, FALTINGS DELTA-INVARIANT

In this section we consider a smooth complex algebraic variety Y and a smooth proper curve $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ over Y of positive genus. Let L, M be line bundles on X , both equipped with C^∞ hermitian metrics. Then by [De, Section 6] the Deligne pairing $\langle L, M \rangle$ has a canonical structure of C^∞ hermitian line bundle, which can be given explicitly as follows. Let ℓ, m be non-zero rational sections of L, M resp. with disjoint support. Then we have as functions on Y

$$(7.1) \quad \log \|\langle \ell, m \rangle\| = (\log \|m\|)[\operatorname{div} \ell] + \int_{\pi} \log \|\ell\|_{c_1(M)}.$$

Although this is not immediately obvious from the definition, we have a symmetry relation $\|\langle \ell, m \rangle\| = \|\langle m, \ell \rangle\|$, cf. [De, Section 6.3]. Further, it is clear from (7.1) that for rational functions f on X we have

$$\log \|\langle \ell, fm \rangle\| = \log \|\langle \ell, m \rangle\| + (\log |f|)[\operatorname{div} \ell],$$

showing that the Deligne norm $\|\cdot\|$ is compatible with the relations (6.1). Finally, the canonical isomorphisms

$$(7.2) \quad \langle M, \pi^* N \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} N^{\otimes \deg M}, \quad \langle L, M \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle M, L \rangle$$

from (6.2) are isometries, when L, M and N are equipped with C^∞ hermitian metrics.

Let $P: Y \rightarrow X$ be a section of π . As before, we denote by $\mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}$ resp. ω_{Ar} the C^∞ hermitian line bundles on X that we obtain by putting fiberwise the Arakelov metric on $\mathcal{O}(P)$ resp. ω . By Proposition 5.1 both $\mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}$ and ω_{Ar} are fiberwise admissible. Further, we see that each of the Deligne pairings $\langle \mathcal{O}(P), \omega \rangle$, $\langle \omega, \omega \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{O}(P), \mathcal{O}(P) \rangle$ carries a canonical structure of C^∞ hermitian line bundle on Y . If there is a second section Q of $X \rightarrow Y$, then so does the Deligne pairing $\langle \mathcal{O}(P), \mathcal{O}(Q) \rangle$. We denote these C^∞ hermitian line bundles on Y respectively by

$$(7.3) \quad \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle, \quad \langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle, \quad \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle \text{ and } \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle.$$

Now let M be a fiberwise admissible C^∞ hermitian line bundle on X . Denote by 1_P the canonical rational section of $\mathcal{O}(P)$. Then for any nonzero rational section m of M with support away from P we have

$$\log \|P^* m\| = (\log \|m\|)[\operatorname{div} 1_P].$$

From (7.1) we obtain on the other hand that

$$\log \|\langle 1_P, m \rangle\| = (\log \|m\|)[\operatorname{div} 1_P] + \int_{\pi} \log \|1_P\|_{c_1(M)} = (\log \|m\|)[\operatorname{div} 1_P]$$

by the normalization condition (5.4). It follows that the canonical isomorphism $\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, M \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} P^* M$ from (6.2) is an isometry. In particular we find, by Proposition 5.1, that the isomorphism $\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} P^* \omega_{\text{Ar}}$ is an isometry. Further, by definition of the Arakelov metric, the adjunction isomorphism (6.3) is an isometry. That is, we have a canonical isometry

$$(7.4) \quad \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes -1}$$

of C^∞ hermitian line bundles on Y .

Via (5.1) we obtain a natural Hodge metric on $\det R\pi_* \omega$. Let L be any fiberwise admissible hermitian line bundle on X . From Deligne's metrization of the Deligne pairing (7.1), and the Arakelov metric on ω , we obtain via (6.7) a natural structure

of hermitian line bundle on $\det R\pi_*L$. It can be easily seen that with these choices, both canonical isomorphisms (6.5) and (6.6) become isometries. Also the following properties can be easily checked: (i) an isometry $L \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ induces an isometry $\det R\pi_*L \xrightarrow{\sim} \det R\pi_*M$, (ii) if $P: Y \rightarrow X$ is a section of π , then the canonical isomorphism (6.4) is an isometry. Items (i) and (ii) show that our metrization of the determinant of cohomology of fiberwise admissible C^∞ hermitian line bundles coincides with the one that is axiomatically characterized in [Fa, Theorem 1] and [MB85, Théorème 4.13].

The Mumford isomorphism (6.9) restricts to a canonical isomorphism, up to sign

$$\mu_Y: (\det R\pi_*\omega)^{\otimes 12} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \omega, \omega \rangle$$

of line bundles on Y . In this paper, the Faltings delta-invariant $\delta_F: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined to be the minus logarithm of the norm of μ_Y ,

$$(7.5) \quad \delta_F = -\log \|\mu_Y\|.$$

Here $\langle \omega, \omega \rangle$ is endowed with the Deligne metric derived from ω_{Ar} , and $\det R\pi_*\omega$ is endowed with the Hodge metric induced from (5.1).

The original definition of δ_F in [Fa, Section 3] as a constant of proportionality between two natural hermitian metrics on the determinant of cohomology of a line bundle of degree $h-1$ differs from our present definition (7.5) only by an additive constant depending only on h , cf. [Fa, proof of Theorem 6] and [MB89, Théorème 2.2].

Let $j: J \rightarrow Y$ be the jacobian fibration associated to $X \rightarrow Y$. Then $J \times J$ carries a canonical Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{P}$ together with a rigidification at the origin. By [HdJ, Section 3] and [MB85, Section 3] we have that $\mathcal{P}$ carries a canonical hermitian metric, compatible with the rigidification. We denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the C^∞ hermitian line bundle on J obtained by restricting $\mathcal{P}$ to the diagonal.

If E, F are divisors on X of relative degree zero over Y , we obtain a section η of $J \times_Y J \rightarrow Y$ given by sending $y \in Y$ to the classes of E_y, F_y in $\text{Jac}(X_y)$. There turns out to be a canonical isometry (see for example [MB85, Corollaire 4.14.1])

$$\eta^*\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\det R\pi_*(\mathcal{O}(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}(F)))^{\otimes -1} \otimes \det R\pi_*\mathcal{O}(E) \otimes \det R\pi_*\mathcal{O}(F) \otimes (\det R\pi_*\omega)^{\otimes -1}.$$

By combining with (6.6) we find a canonical isometry

$$\eta^*\mathcal{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(E)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(F)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes -1}.$$

In particular, for the section $\nu: Y \rightarrow J$ associated to the divisor E we find a canonical isometry

$$(7.6) \quad \nu^*\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(E)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(E)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes -1}$$

of C^∞ hermitian line bundles on Y . The section ν is a so-called normal function section of the jacobian family $J \rightarrow Y$, see [Ha13, Section 5].

8. SOME KEY ISOMETRIES

We need a few special cases of the canonical isometry (7.6). Let $h > 0$ denote the genus of π , and assume a section $P: Y \rightarrow X$ of π is given.

Proposition 8.1. *Let $\kappa: Y \rightarrow J$ be the section of j associated to the relative degree zero divisor $E = (2h-2)P - \omega$ on X over Y . Then one has a canonical isometry*

$$\kappa^*\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes 4h(h-1)} \otimes \langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes -1}$$

of C^∞ hermitian line bundles on Y .

Proof. By equation (7.6) we have a canonical isometry

$$\kappa^* \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle (2h-2)\mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}} - \omega_{\text{Ar}}, (2h-2)\mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}} - \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes -1}.$$

We obtain the result upon expanding the right hand side using the canonical adjunction isometry $\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes -1}$ from (7.4). $\square$

If $X \rightarrow Y$ has two sections $P, Q: Y \rightarrow X$, we let $\delta: Y \rightarrow J$ be the section of $j: J \rightarrow Y$ associated to the relative degree zero divisor $E = P - Q$ of X over Y .

Proposition 8.2. *Assume $X \rightarrow Y$ has two sections $P, Q: Y \rightarrow X$. Then we have a canonical isometry*

$$\delta^* \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes 2} \otimes \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle \otimes \langle \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$$

of C^∞ hermitian line bundles on Y .

Proof. By (7.6) we have a canonical isometry $\delta^* \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(P-Q)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(P-Q)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes -1}$. The stated result follows upon expanding the right hand side, using the canonical adjunction isometry $\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes -1}$ and similarly for $\mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}}$. $\square$

Let $\delta_P: X \rightarrow J$ be the Y -morphism given by sending $z \in X_y$ to the class of $P(y) - z$ in $\text{Jac}(X_y)$.

Proposition 8.3. *Assume $X \rightarrow Y$ has a section $P: Y \rightarrow X$. Then we have a canonical isometry*

$$\delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \pi^* \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle \otimes \omega_{\text{Ar}}$$

of C^∞ hermitian line bundles on X .

Proof. Consider the first projection $\pi_1: X \times_Y X \rightarrow X$. It comes equipped with two tautological sections, namely one $\tilde{P}$ induced from P , and the diagonal section Q . Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on $X \times_Y J$ denote the pullback of $\mathcal{B}$ along the second projection $X \times_Y J \rightarrow J$. Let $\delta: X \rightarrow X \times_Y J$ be the section of the projection $X \times_Y J \rightarrow X$ associated to the two sections $\tilde{P}$ and Q of $X \times_Y X \rightarrow X$. Then we have a canonical isometry $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \delta^* \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Let $\tilde{\omega}_{\text{Ar}} = \pi_2^* \omega_{\text{Ar}}$ denote the relative dualizing sheaf of π_1 endowed with the Arakelov metric. Then by Proposition 8.2 we have a canonical isometry

$$\delta^* \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(\tilde{P})_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes 2} \otimes \langle \mathcal{O}(\tilde{P})_{\text{Ar}}, \tilde{\omega}_{\text{Ar}} \rangle \otimes \langle \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}}, \tilde{\omega}_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$$

of C^∞ hermitian line bundles on X . The proof follows upon observing the canonical isometries

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\tilde{P})_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} Q^* \mathcal{O}(\tilde{P})_{\text{Ar}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \quad \langle \mathcal{O}(\tilde{P})_{\text{Ar}}, \tilde{\omega}_{\text{Ar}} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^* \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle,$$

and

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}}, \tilde{\omega}_{\text{Ar}} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} Q^* \tilde{\omega}_{\text{Ar}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_{\text{Ar}}$$

of C^∞ hermitian line bundles on X . $\square$

Proposition 8.4. *Assume $X \rightarrow Y$ has a section $P: Y \rightarrow X$. Then we have a canonical isometry*

$$\langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes 4h} \otimes \langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$$

of C^∞ hermitian line bundles on Y .

Proof. We expand the Deligne pairing of the right hand side in Proposition 8.3 with itself, using the adjunction isometry $\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes -1}$ and the canonical isometries

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \pi^* \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$$

and

$$\langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \pi^* \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes 2h-2}$$

that we obtain from (7.2). $\square$

Proposition 8.5. *Assume $X \rightarrow Y$ has a section $P: Y \rightarrow X$. Then we have a canonical isometry*

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes 4h^2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle \otimes \kappa^* \mathcal{B}$$

of C^∞ hermitian line bundles on Y .

Proof. This follows immediately upon combining Propositions 8.1 and 8.4. $\square$

9. LEAR EXTENSIONS

Let $Y \subset \bar{Y}$ be complex manifolds, and assume that $D = \bar{Y} \setminus Y$ is a normal crossings divisor. Let L be a holomorphic line bundle on Y . An extension of L over $\bar{Y}$ consists of a pair $(\bar{L}, \alpha: \bar{L}|_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} L)$, where $\bar{L}$ is a holomorphic line bundle on $\bar{Y}$ and α is an isomorphism of holomorphic line bundles. There is a natural notion of isomorphism of extensions $(\bar{L}, \alpha)$. We denote the set of isomorphism classes of extensions of L by $\text{Pic}_L(\bar{Y})$. Let V denote the set of irreducible components of D . Then there is a canonical isomorphism $\text{Pic}_{\mathcal{O}}(\bar{Y}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}^V$, and for each holomorphic line bundle L on Y the set $\text{Pic}_L(\bar{Y})$ is canonically a $\mathbb{Z}^V$ -torsor.

Assume now that L is equipped with a continuous hermitian metric $\|\cdot\|$. A Lear extension of $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ over $\bar{Y}$ is an extension $(\bar{L}, \alpha)$ of L over $\bar{Y}$ with the property that the continuous metric $\alpha^* \|\cdot\|$ on $\bar{L}|_Y = \bar{L}|_{\bar{Y} \setminus D}$ extends as a continuous metric over $\bar{L}|_{\bar{Y} \setminus D^{\text{sing}}}$. The terminology is justified by Theorem 9.5 below, which was first obtained by D. Lear in his PhD thesis [Le].

We note that if $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ has a Lear extension over $\bar{Y}$, the underlying line bundle is unique up to isomorphism, since D^{sing} lies in codimension ≥ 2 on $\bar{Y}$. Thus all Lear extensions yield the same class in $\text{Pic}_L(\bar{Y})$, and usually we think of “the” Lear extension of $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ as this class in $\text{Pic}_L(\bar{Y})$. By slight abuse of language, if a power $L^{\otimes N}$ has a Lear extension $(\bar{L}^{\otimes N}, \alpha)$, we also say that L has a Lear extension, and we call the class of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundle $\frac{1}{N} \bar{L}^{\otimes N}$ in $\text{Pic}_L(\bar{Y}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ its Lear extension. Note that this class is independent of the choice of N . A Lear extension $\bar{L}$ has an associated continuous first Chern current $c_1(\bar{L})$ over $\bar{Y} \setminus D^{\text{sing}}$.

Let $p \in D \setminus D^{\text{sing}}$. We say a coordinate chart $(t_1, \dots, t_n): U \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta^n$ of $\bar{Y}$ with center p is adapted to D if $D \cap U$ is given by the equation $t_1 = 0$. Clearly one can verify Lear extendability locally on coordinate charts adapted to D .

Lemma 9.1. *Let $\{U_j \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta^n\}_{j \in J}$ be a collection of coordinate charts of $\bar{Y}$ adapted to D , such that the union of the U_j covers all of $D \setminus D^{\text{sing}}$. Let $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ be a continuous hermitian line bundle on Y . Then the following assertions are equivalent: (i) the continuous hermitian line bundle L has a Lear extension over $\bar{Y}$; (ii) for every $j \in J$ the continuous hermitian line bundle $L|_{U_j \setminus D}$ extends as a continuous*

hermitian line bundle over U_j ; (iii) for every $j \in J$ the continuous hermitian line bundle $L|_{U_j \setminus D}$ has a Lear extension over U_j .

Write D for the divisor of Δ^n given by the equation $t_1 = 0$. The following gives a criterion for continuous extendability of a continuous hermitian line bundle on $\Delta^n \setminus D = \Delta^* \times \Delta^{n-1}$ over Δ^n , and hence, by Lemma 9.1, for Lear extendability in general.

Proposition 9.2. *Let $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ be a continuous hermitian line bundle over $\Delta^n \setminus D$, and let M be a holomorphic line bundle on Δ^n coinciding with L on $\Delta^n \setminus D$. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let s be a generating section of M over Δ^n . The following assertions are equivalent: (i) the function $\log \|s\| - \mu \log |t_1|$ on $\Delta^n \setminus D$ extends continuously over Δ^n , (ii) the continuous hermitian line bundle $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ has a continuous (i.e., Lear) extension $\bar{L}$ over Δ^n and we have $\bar{L} = M + \mu D$.*

Proof. Write $M(\mu)$ as a shorthand for $M + \mu D$. Note that $M(\mu)$ coincides with L over $\Delta^n \setminus D$, and that the rational section $s' = t_1^{-\mu} s$ is a generating section of $M(\mu)$ over Δ^n . We have that $M(\mu)$ can be equipped with the structure of continuous hermitian line bundle extending $(L, \|\cdot\|)$ over Δ^n if and only if $\log \|s'\|$ extends continuously over Δ^n . Since $\log \|s'\| = \log \|s\| - \mu \log |t_1|$ we obtain the required equivalence. $\square$

Proposition 9.3. *Assume that L has a Lear extension $\bar{L}$ over $\bar{Y}$. Let $T \subset \bar{T}$ be complex manifolds, and assume that $\bar{T} \setminus T$ is a normal crossings divisor. Let $\bar{f}: \bar{T} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be a holomorphic map, which restricts to a map $f: T \rightarrow Y$. Assume that $\bar{f}(\bar{T})$ does not intersect D^{sing} . Then the Lear extension of f^*L exists, and we have $\overline{f^*L} = \bar{f}^*\bar{L}$.*

Proof. Just observe that $\bar{f}^*\bar{L}$ is a continuous hermitian line bundle on $\bar{T}$, that coincides with f^*L on T . $\square$

As a special case, we have the following “method of test curves” to determine a Lear extension, once one knows it exists.

Corollary 9.4. *Assume that L has a Lear extension $\bar{L}$ over $\bar{Y}$. Write $D = \sum_{i=1}^r D_i$. For every $i = 1, \dots, r$ choose a point $p_i \in D_i \setminus D^{\text{sing}}$ and let $\bar{f}_i: \Delta \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be a holomorphic map with $\bar{f}_i(0) = p_i$, intersecting D_i transversally at p_i , and restricting to a map $f_i: \Delta^* \rightarrow Y$. Let M be a holomorphic line bundle on $\bar{Y}$ coinciding with L on Y . For each $i = 1, \dots, r$ let $\mu_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ be such that $\overline{f_i^*L} = \bar{f}_i^*M + \mu_i[0]$. Then $\bar{L} = M + \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i D_i$.*

Proof. We necessarily have $\bar{L} = M + \sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i D_i$ for some $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in \mathbb{Q}$. Applying Proposition 9.3 to the maps $\bar{f}_i$ we find that for each $i = 1, \dots, r$ the Lear extension of f_i^*L exists, and that $\overline{f_i^*L} = \bar{f}_i^*\bar{L}$. The proof is finished by observing that $\bar{f}_i^*\bar{L} = \bar{f}_i^*M + \mu_i[0]$. $\square$

Assume from now on that $\bar{Y}$ is a smooth complex algebraic variety. We consider a polarized variation of Hodge structures $\mathbf{V}$ of weight -1 over Y . Following [Ha13, Section 5] we have associated to $\mathbf{V}$ a family of intermediate jacobians $J(\mathbf{V}) \rightarrow Y$, carrying a Poincaré line bundle $\mathcal{B}$ analogously to the case discussed above of jacobians associated to a smooth proper family of curves. Then the main result of Lear’s thesis [Le], reproduced in [Ha13, Corollary 6.2], is the following.

Theorem 9.5. *Let $\nu: Y \rightarrow J(\mathbf{V})$ be an admissible normal function section (cf. [Hal13, Definition 5.2]) of the family $J(\mathbf{V}) \rightarrow Y$. Then the C^∞ -hermitian line bundle $L = \nu^*\mathcal{B}$ on Y has a Lear extension over $\bar{Y}$.*

The case of special interest to us is given by sections of jacobians obtained from relative degree zero divisors on families of curves. We will study some particular instances in Section 10 below.

We note that in the setting of Theorem 9.5, if $T \subset \bar{T}$ are complex manifolds with $\bar{T} \setminus T$ a normal crossings divisor, and $\bar{f}: \bar{T} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ is a holomorphic map restricting to $f: T \rightarrow Y$, by base change one has that f^*L has a Lear extension over $\bar{T}$. By Proposition 9.3, if $\bar{f}(\bar{T})$ and D^{sing} are disjoint, then one has $\overline{f^*L} = \bar{f}^*\bar{L}$. Hain [Hal13, Section 14] observed, by exhibiting a concrete example, that in general the equality $\overline{f^*L} = \bar{f}^*\bar{L}$ fails. In particular, the metric on $L = \nu^*\mathcal{B}$ may fail to extend continuously over all of $\bar{L}$. This phenomenon was called “height jumping” by Hain. Clearly, for a non-trivial height jump we need $\dim Y \geq 2$. We will encounter a particular instance of height jumping related to the Abel-Jacobi map on a family of pointed curves over a surface in the proof of our main auxiliary result in Section 16.

10. LEAR EXTENSION OF A DELIGNE PAIRING, I

Let $\bar{Y}$ be a smooth complex algebraic variety, and let D be a normal crossings divisor on $\bar{Y}$. Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be a semistable curve, assumed to be smooth over $Y = \bar{Y} \setminus D$, and put $X = \pi^{-1}Y$. In the present section and the next, we consider C^∞ hermitian line bundles L, M on X and we are interested in finding conditions guaranteeing that the Lear extension of $\langle L, M \rangle$ exists over $\bar{Y}$. Moreover, if the Lear extension exists, we want to be able to compute it.

In this section we study the case where L, M are both equal to the restriction to X of a line bundle $\mathcal{O}(E)$ on $\bar{X}$, where E is a Cartier divisor on $\bar{X}$ with support in $\text{Sm}(\pi)$, and with relative degree zero over $\bar{Y}$. We assume that $\mathcal{O}(E)$ is equipped with the metric derived from the Arakelov-Green’s function g_{Ar} , notation $\mathcal{O}(E)_{\text{Ar}}$. Our results in this section are based on the main results of [HdJ].

Let $(G, \ell: E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0})$ be a finite connected weighted graph. Recall (e.g. from [BF, Section 2]) that the discrete Laplacian $L: \mathbb{R}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{V(G)}$ is given as follows. Fix an orientation on G . For $f \in \mathbb{R}^{V(G)}$ we define $d_*f \in \mathbb{R}^{E(G)}$ by

$$(d_*f)(e) = \frac{f(e^+) - f(e^-)}{\ell(e)},$$

and for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{E(G)}$ we define $d^*\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{V(G)}$ by

$$(d^*\alpha)(x) = \sum_{e \in E: x=e^+} \alpha(e) - \sum_{e \in E: x=e^-} \alpha(e).$$

Then for $f \in \mathbb{R}^{V(G)}$ we put $L(f) = d^*d_*f \in \mathbb{R}^{V(G)}$. It can be checked that $L(f)$ is independent of the choice of orientation. It is often convenient to think of L as a matrix with rows and columns indexed by $V(G)$ and with entries $L(x, y) = L(\delta_x)(y)$ for $x, y \in V(G)$. Let L^+ be the pseudo-inverse of L . Then for $x, y \in V(G)$ we define $\bar{g}(x, y) = L^+(x, y)$. By extending bilinearly we obtain a map $\bar{g}: \mathbb{R}^{V(G)} \times \mathbb{R}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By for instance [HdJ, Section 7] it holds that

$$(10.1) \quad \bar{g}(x - y, x - y) = r(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in V(G)$, where r denotes effective resistance on the weighted graph G .

Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable curve over the unit disc, smooth over Δ^* . Let G be the canonically weighted dual graph of the special fiber $\bar{X}_0$. Let $P(\bar{X})$ be the (additively written) group of line bundles on $\bar{X}$. Then we have a canonical specialization map $R: P(\bar{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{V(G)}$ given by $R(L)(x) = (L \cdot x)_0 = \deg(L|_x)$ for all $L \in P(\bar{X})$ and $x \in V(G)$. A special case is the divisor $K = R(\omega)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{V(G)}$ that is obtained in this way from the relative dualizing sheaf ω .

Proposition 10.1. *Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable curve over the unit disc. Assume that π is smooth over Δ^* . Let E be a Cartier divisor on $\bar{X}$ of relative degree zero, and with support in $\text{Sm}(\pi)$. Write $\mathcal{E} = R(\mathcal{O}(E))$. Then the Lear extension $\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(E), \mathcal{O}(E) \rangle}$ of the restriction of $\langle \mathcal{O}(E), \mathcal{O}(E) \rangle$ to Δ^* exists. Moreover we have an equality*

$$\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(E), \mathcal{O}(E) \rangle} = \langle \mathcal{O}(E), \mathcal{O}(E) \rangle + \bar{g}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})[0]$$

of $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundles over Δ .

Proof. The existence of $\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(E), \mathcal{O}(E) \rangle}$ follows from [HdJ, Theorem 2.2], or alternatively Theorem 9.5 above in combination with (7.6). As to the formula for $\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(E), \mathcal{O}(E) \rangle}$, let $\tilde{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ denote the minimal desingularization of $\bar{X}$. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ resp. Γ denote the metrized graphs associated to the dual graphs of the special fibers of $\tilde{\tilde{X}}$ resp. $\bar{X}$. By Proposition 3.2, the minimal desingularization $\tilde{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ of $\bar{X}$ induces a canonical isometry $\tilde{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma$. We see that, since E has support in the smooth locus of π , upon passing to the minimal desingularization $\tilde{\tilde{X}}$ neither the left hand side nor the right hand side of the equality to be proven changes. Hence we may assume that $\bar{X}$ is smooth. Then the formula follows upon combining [HdJ, Theorem 2.2] and [HdJ, Corollary 7.5]. $\square$

Let $\bar{Y} \supset Y$ be smooth complex algebraic varieties, with $\bar{Y} \setminus Y$ a normal crossings divisor. Consider a semistable curve $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ of genus $h > 0$, assumed to be smooth over Y , and write $X = \pi^{-1}Y$. Assume that π has a section P passing through the smooth locus $\text{Sm}(\pi)$ of π . Let ω denote the relative dualizing sheaf of π . We put as usual $\kappa_1 = \langle \omega, \omega \rangle$ and $\psi = \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \omega \rangle = P^*\omega$. Let $j: J \rightarrow Y$ be the jacobian fibration associated to $X \rightarrow Y$. From Proposition 8.1 we recall the map $\kappa: Y \rightarrow J$ associated to the divisor $(2h - 2)P - \omega$.

Proposition 10.2. *The Lear extension of $\kappa^*\mathcal{B}$ over $\bar{Y}$ exists. If $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ is a semistable curve over the unit disc, let G be the canonically weighted dual graph of the special fiber $\bar{X}_0$. Assume π has a section $P: \Delta \rightarrow \bar{X}$. Then one has an equality*

$$\overline{\kappa^*\mathcal{B}} = 4h(h - 1)\psi - \kappa_1 - \bar{g}((2h - 2)x - K, (2h - 2)x - K)[0]$$

of $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundles over Δ . Here K is the divisor on G induced by ω , and $x \in V(G)$ is the irreducible component of G where P specializes.

Proof. The existence of the Lear extension of $\kappa^*\mathcal{B}$ follows from Theorem 9.5 since κ is a normal function section of $J \rightarrow Y$. Let $E = (2h - 2)P - \omega$. By equation (7.6) we have a canonical isometry

$$\kappa^*\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(E)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(E)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes -1}$$

of C^∞ hermitian line bundles over Y . The formula when $\bar{Y} = \Delta$ is obtained by applying Proposition 10.1, noting that the equality

$$-\langle \mathcal{O}(E), \mathcal{O}(E) \rangle = -\langle (2h - 2)\mathcal{O}(P) - \omega, (2h - 2)\mathcal{O}(P) - \omega \rangle = 4h(h - 1)\psi - \kappa_1$$

holds over Δ by the adjunction isomorphism $\langle \mathcal{O}(P), \mathcal{O}(P) \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \omega \rangle^{\otimes -1}$. $\square$

Assume that $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ has two sections P, Q , both passing through the smooth locus of π . We recall from Proposition 8.2 the section δ of $J \rightarrow Y$ associated to the divisor $P - Q$.

Proposition 10.3. *The Lear extension of $\delta^* \mathcal{B}$ over $\bar{Y}$ exists. In the case that $\bar{Y} = \Delta$, assume that P specializes onto $x \in V(G)$, and Q specializes onto $y \in V(G)$. Let r denote effective resistance on the canonically weighted dual graph G of $\bar{X}_0$. Then one has the equalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\delta^* \mathcal{B}} &= -\langle \mathcal{O}(P - Q), \mathcal{O}(P - Q) \rangle - \bar{g}(x - y, x - y)[0] \\ &= 2 \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \mathcal{O}(Q) \rangle + \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \omega \rangle + \langle \mathcal{O}(Q), \omega \rangle - \bar{g}(x - y, x - y)[0] \\ &= 2 \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \mathcal{O}(Q) \rangle + \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \omega \rangle + \langle \mathcal{O}(Q), \omega \rangle - r(x, y)[0] \end{aligned}$$

of $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundles on Δ .

Proof. The existence of the Lear extension $\overline{\delta^* \mathcal{B}}$ follows from Theorem 9.5 since δ is a normal function section of $J \rightarrow Y$. To obtain the first equality, we apply Proposition 10.1 to $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ with $E = P - Q$. We obtain the second equality by expanding $\langle \mathcal{O}(P - Q), \mathcal{O}(P - Q) \rangle$ using the adjunction isomorphism $\langle \mathcal{O}(P), \mathcal{O}(P) \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \omega \rangle^{\otimes -1}$. The third equality follows from (10.1). $\square$

Example 10.4. We take $\bar{Y}$ to be the moduli stacks $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,1}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,2}$, respectively. Recall the classes δ_i^P from the Introduction. It is straightforward to calculate K and $\bar{g}$ on weighted graphs with only one edge. Combined with the method of test curves Corollary 9.4 we obtain from Proposition 10.2 the relation

$$\overline{\kappa^* \mathcal{B}} = 4h(h-1)\psi - \kappa_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} (2i-1)^2 \delta_{h-i}^{\{x\}}$$

in $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,1})$, and from Proposition 10.3 the relation

$$\overline{\delta^* \mathcal{B}} = 2 \delta_0^{\{x_1, x_2\}} + \psi_1 + \psi_2 - \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \delta_i^{\{x_1\}}$$

in $\text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{h,2})$. In the latter equality we have written $\psi_1 = \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \omega \rangle$ and $\psi_2 = \langle \mathcal{O}(Q), \omega \rangle$. These results reproduce [Ha13, Theorems 10.2 and 11.5].

Recall the map $\delta_P: X \rightarrow J$ given by sending the point $z \in X_y$ to the Abel-Jacobi element $\int_z^P$ in J_y for all $y \in Y$. Our next aim is to study the Lear extension of $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$. From now on we assume therefore that $\bar{X}$ is smooth. It is then automatic that the image of any section $P: \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ is contained in the smooth locus $\text{Sm}(\pi)$ of $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$. Also we note that $\bar{X} \setminus X$ is a normal crossings divisor on $\bar{X}$.

Proposition 10.5. *Assume that $\bar{X}$ is smooth, and let $P: \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be a section of π . Then the Lear extension of $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$ over $\bar{X}$ exists. Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable curve over the unit disc, with $\bar{X}$ smooth, and with π smooth over Δ^* . Let G be the canonically weighted dual graph of $\bar{X}_0$ and let r denote effective resistance on G . Then one has an equality*

$$(10.2) \quad \overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}} = 2 \mathcal{O}(P) + \pi^* \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \omega \rangle + \omega - \sum_{y \in V(G)} r(x, y)y$$

of $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundles on $\bar{X}$. Here ω is the relative dualizing sheaf of π , and $x \in V(G)$ is the irreducible component of $\bar{X}_0$ where P specializes.

Proof. Let $\bar{Z} = \bar{X} \times_{\bar{Y}} \bar{X}$. The first projection $\pi_1: \bar{Z} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ comes equipped with two natural sections, one called $\bar{P}$ induced by P , and the diagonal section Q . Note that $\bar{P}$ passes through the smooth locus of π_1 . In general the section Q does not, though it does so over $\text{Sm}(\pi)$. This allows us to do a test curve argument without modifying $\bar{Z}$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{B}}$ denote the pullback of $\mathcal{B}$ on $X \times_Y J$ along the second projection $X \times_Y J \rightarrow J$. Let $\delta: X \rightarrow X \times_Y J$ be the section of the projection $X \times_Y J \rightarrow X$ associated to the two sections $\bar{P}$ and Q . Then we have a canonical isometry $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \delta^* \bar{\mathcal{B}}$.

The existence of the Lear extension $\overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}}$ follows then from Proposition 10.3. Formula (10.2) follows from the formula in Proposition 10.3 using the method of test curves Corollary 9.4. There are two things to note. First, let $\pi_2: \bar{Z} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the second projection, and let $\tilde{\omega} = \pi_2^* \omega$ denote the relative dualizing sheaf of π_1 . Then over $\bar{X}$ we have canonical isomorphisms of line bundles

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(\bar{P}), \mathcal{O}(Q) \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(P), \quad \langle \mathcal{O}(\bar{P}), \tilde{\omega} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^* \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \omega \rangle, \quad \langle \mathcal{O}(Q), \tilde{\omega} \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega,$$

where the Deligne pairings on the left hand sides are taken along the semistable curve $\pi_1: \bar{Z} \rightarrow \bar{X}$. Second, by Proposition 3.1 labelled dual graphs are functorial under the base change from $\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ to $\bar{Z} \rightarrow \bar{X}$, and further under the base change along any holomorphic map $f: \Delta \rightarrow \bar{X}$. So if we let $f: \Delta \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be a holomorphic map with $f(0)$ a smooth point of an irreducible component of $\bar{X}_0$ then the labelled dual graph at the origin of the semistable curve $f^* \bar{Z} \rightarrow \Delta$ is canonically isomorphic to G . $\square$

11. LEAR EXTENSION OF A DELIGNE PAIRING, II

Let D be the divisor of Δ^n given by the equation $t_1 = 0$. In this section we consider semistable curves $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta^n$, such that $\bar{X}$ itself is smooth, and such that π is smooth over $Y = \Delta^n \setminus D$. We write $X = \pi^{-1}Y$ as usual. Let Σ be the singular locus of π . We have that $\bar{X} \setminus X = \pi^{-1}D$ is a normal crossings divisor of $\bar{X}$, and $(\pi^{-1}D)^{\text{sing}} = \Sigma$. The map $\Sigma \rightarrow D$ induced by π is finite and unramified. Put $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma \cap \bar{X}_0$; this is a finite set.

Let $e \in \bar{X}_0$ be a point. We recall from Section 3 that if $e \in \Sigma_0$, then locally around e we can choose coordinates $(u, v, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ such that the projection π is given by $(u, v, t_2, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (uv, t_2, \dots, t_n)$. On the other hand if $e \notin \Sigma_0$, then locally around e we can choose coordinates $(z, t_1, \dots, t_n)$ such that the projection π is given by $(z, t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (t_1, \dots, t_n)$. For each $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and for each $e \in \bar{X}_0$ we let $U_{e,\epsilon}$ denote the following open neighborhood of e in $\bar{X}$. If $e \in \Sigma_0$ we let $U_{e,\epsilon}$ be given by the conditions $|u|, |v| < \epsilon^{1/2}$, $|t_i| < \epsilon$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$. If $e \notin \Sigma_0$ we let $U_{e,\epsilon}$ be given by the conditions $|z| < \epsilon$, $|t_i| < \epsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

For $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ small enough there exists a finite set $\mathcal{U}$ of mutually disjoint open neighborhoods $U_{e,\epsilon}$ with centers $e \in \bar{X}_0$ such that (i) $\mathcal{U}$ contains all $U_{e,\epsilon}$ with $e \in \Sigma_0$, and (ii) the elements of $\mathcal{U}$ together cover $\pi^{-1}\Delta_\epsilon^n$ up to a subset of Lebesgue measure zero. We call such a set $\mathcal{U}$ a distinguished collection of open neighborhoods associated to ϵ .

Let L be a C^∞ hermitian line bundle on X , and let ℓ be a non-zero rational section of L . Assume that L has a Lear extension $\bar{L}$ over $\bar{X}$. Let V denote the set

of irreducible components of $\pi^{-1}D$. There are well-defined rational numbers $a(x)$ for each $x \in V$ such that for ℓ viewed as a rational section of $\bar{L}$, the equality

$$\operatorname{div}_{\bar{X}} \ell = \overline{\operatorname{div}_X \ell} + \sum_{x \in V} a(x)x$$

holds in $\operatorname{Pic}(\bar{X}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Following Proposition 9.2, this equality is to be interpreted as saying that on a coordinate chart U of $\bar{X}$ with center p in the smooth locus of $x \in V$, adapted to $\pi^{-1}D$ and disjoint from $\overline{\operatorname{div}_X \ell}$ the function $\log \|\ell\| - a(x) \log |t_1|$ on $U \setminus \pi^{-1}D$ extends continuously over U .

Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Assume a distinguished collection $\mathcal{U}$ of open neighborhoods associated to ϵ is given. Let $U \subset \bar{X}$ denote the union of all elements of $\mathcal{U}$. Then we define a logarithmic current $\psi(\log \|\ell\|)$ on $U \setminus \pi^{-1}D$ as follows. On an $U_{e,\epsilon}$ with $e \notin \Sigma_0$ we put $\psi(\log \|\ell\|) = \log \|\ell\| - a(x) \log |t_1|$, if x is the unique irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}D$ such that $e \in x$. On an $U_{e,\epsilon}$ with $e \in \Sigma_0$ we put $\psi(\log \|\ell\|) = \log \|\ell\| - a(x) \log |u| - a(y) \log |v|$, where x is the irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}D$ corresponding to the branch through $e \in U_{e,\epsilon}$ given by the equation $u = 0$, and where y is the irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}D$ corresponding to the branch through $e \in U_{e,\epsilon}$ given by the equation $v = 0$. We note that $\psi(\log \|\ell\|)$ extends as a logarithmic current over $U \setminus (\pi^{-1}D)^{\operatorname{sing}} = U \setminus \Sigma$, with locus of indeterminacy given by $\overline{\operatorname{div}_X \ell}$.

We say that a function $\gamma: \Delta_\epsilon^n \setminus D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a log singularity along D if there exists $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that the function $\gamma - a \log |t_1|$ extends continuously over Δ_ϵ^n . In this case we call a the order of the log singularity of γ along D .

Theorem 11.1. *Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta^n$ be a semistable curve with $\bar{X}$ smooth, such that π is smooth over $Y = \Delta^n \setminus D$, where D is the divisor of Δ^n given by the equation $t_1 = 0$. Let $X = \pi^{-1}Y$. Let L, M be C^∞ hermitian line bundles on X and let ℓ, m be non-zero rational sections of L, M such that $\operatorname{div}_X \ell$ and $\operatorname{div}_X m$ are disjoint from the singular locus Σ of π . Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{e,\epsilon}\}$ be a distinguished collection of open neighborhoods on $\pi^{-1}\Delta_\epsilon^n$ with centers $e \in \bar{X}_0$ associated to ϵ . Assume the following conditions hold:*

- (a) *L, M have Lear extensions $\bar{L}, \bar{M}$ over $\bar{X}$;*
- (b) *for each fiber F of $\pi^{-1}D$ over D the following holds. The first Chern current $c_1(\bar{M})$ of the continuous hermitian line bundle $\bar{M}$ on $\operatorname{Sm}(\pi)$ restricts as a smooth $(1,1)$ -form on $F \setminus F^{\operatorname{sing}} \subset \operatorname{Sm}(\pi)$. Moreover, the smooth $(1,1)$ -form $c_1(\bar{M})|_{F \setminus F^{\operatorname{sing}}}$ extends as a smooth $(1,1)$ -form over the normalization of F ;*
- (c) *the function*

$$\gamma: \Delta_\epsilon^n \setminus D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad t \mapsto \sum_{e \in \Sigma_0} \int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} \psi(\log \|\ell\|) c_1(M)$$

has a log singularity along D .

Then $\langle L, M \rangle$ has a Lear extension $\overline{\langle L, M \rangle}$ over Δ_ϵ^n . Moreover, in the case where $n = 1$, let $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ be the order of γ along $[0]$. Then the equality

$$\overline{\langle L, M \rangle} = \langle \bar{L}, \bar{M} \rangle + c[0]$$

of $\mathbb{Q}$ -line bundles holds on Δ_ϵ .

Proof. We note that up to adding a bounded continuous function on Δ_ϵ^n , the integral in (c) remains unchanged upon replacing ℓ by $f\ell$ or m by gm , where f, g are nonzero rational functions on $\bar{X}$. Using this freedom to change ℓ, m , and shrinking

Δ_ϵ if necessary we may assume in addition that $\overline{\operatorname{div}_X \ell}$ and $\overline{\operatorname{div}_X m}$ have disjoint support. Then ℓ, m give rise to a generating section $\langle \ell, m \rangle$ of $\langle L, M \rangle$, with log norm

$$\log \|\langle \ell, m \rangle\| = (\log \|m\|)[\operatorname{div} \ell] + \int_{X_t} \log \|\ell\| c_1(M)$$

by equation (7.1). By Proposition 9.2 our task is to show that the restriction of $\log \|\langle \ell, m \rangle\|$ to $\Delta_\epsilon^n \setminus D$ has a log singularity along D . The assumptions that L, M have Lear extensions over $\bar{X}$ and that $\overline{\operatorname{div}_X \ell}$ and $\overline{\operatorname{div}_X m}$ are disjoint and disjoint from Σ imply first of all that $(\log \|m\|)[\operatorname{div} \ell]$ has a log singularity along D . We are thus reduced to showing that the function

$$\Delta_\epsilon^n \setminus D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad t \mapsto \int_{X_t} \log \|\ell\| c_1(M)$$

has a log singularity along D . Let R denote the set of $e \in \bar{X}_0$ such that e occurs as a center of one of the given distinguished open neighborhoods associated to ϵ . Recall that $\Sigma_0 \subset R$. As the elements of $\mathcal{U}$ together cover $\pi^{-1}\Delta_\epsilon^n$ up to a subset of Lebesgue measure zero we can write

$$(11.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{X_t} \log \|\ell\| c_1(M) &= \sum_{e \in R} \int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} \psi(\log \|\ell\|) c_1(M) \\ &+ \sum_{e \in R} \int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} (\log \|\ell\| - \psi(\log \|\ell\|)) c_1(M) \end{aligned}$$

for each $t \in \Delta_\epsilon^n \setminus D$. In the first sum on the right hand side, when $e \notin \Sigma_0$, the integrand is a bounded continuous current on $U_{e,\epsilon}$, and the integral extends as a bounded continuous function over Δ_ϵ^n . Hence for our purposes we may replace the first sum by the restricted sum

$$\sum_{e \in \Sigma_0} \int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} \psi(\log \|\ell\|) c_1(M)$$

over centers $e \in \Sigma_0$. This sum has a log singularity along D by assumption (c).

As to the second sum on the right hand side, Picard-Lefschetz theory implies (see for example [ACG, Lemma X.9.19]) that for ϵ sufficiently small there exists a deformation retraction $r: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}_0$ such that for each $t \in \Delta_\epsilon^n \setminus D$ and each $p \in \Sigma_0$ the intersection $r^{-1}(p) \cap X_t$ is a smoothly embedded circle of X_t . Let V denote the set of irreducible components of $\pi^{-1}D$. For each $x \in V$ let $U_x = r^{-1}(x)$. Then for each $x \in V$ we have a real analytic submersion $U_x \rightarrow \Delta_\epsilon^n \setminus D$. We can thus estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{e \in R} \int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} (\log \|\ell\| - \psi(\log \|\ell\|)) c_1(M) \\ \sim \sum_{x \in V} \int_{X_t \cap U_x} (\log \|\ell\| - \psi(\log \|\ell\|)) c_1(M) \end{aligned}$$

for each $t \in \Delta_\epsilon^n \setminus D$. Viewing ℓ as a rational section of $\bar{L}$ and writing

$$\operatorname{div}_{\bar{X}} \ell = \overline{\operatorname{div}_X \ell} + \sum_{x \in V} a(x)x$$

we recall that for each $x \in V$ the equality $\log \|\ell\| - \psi(\log \|\ell\|) = a(x) \log |t_1|$ holds over U_x . This gives the equality

$$\int_{X_t \cap U_x} (\log \|\ell\| - \psi(\log \|\ell\|)) c_1(M) = a(x) \log |t_1| \int_{X_t \cap U_x} c_1(M).$$

By assumption (b) $c_1(M)$ extends as a smooth $(1,1)$ -form over the normalization of each fiber of $\pi^{-1}D$ over D . This gives, putting $F_x = x \cap \bar{X}_0$, the estimate

$$\int_{X_t \cap U_x} c_1(M) \sim (F_x \cdot \bar{M})$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. We obtain that

$$\sum_{e \in R} \int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} (\log \|\ell\| - \psi(\log \|\ell\|)) c_1(M) \sim \log |t_1| \sum_{x \in V} a(x) (F_x \cdot \bar{M}),$$

hence the second sum on the right hand side in (11.1) has a log singularity of order $\sum_{x \in V} a(x) (F_x \cdot \bar{M})$ along D . We conclude that

$$(11.2) \quad \int_{X_t} \log \|\ell\| c_1(M) \sim \sum_{e \in \Sigma_0} \int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} \psi(\log \|\ell\|) c_1(M) + \sum_{x \in V} a(x) (F_x \cdot \bar{M}) \log |t_1|$$

has a log singularity along D , and hence that the Lear extension of $\langle L, M \rangle$ exists.

In order to compute the Lear extension of $\langle L, M \rangle$ explicitly we make the above argument more precise, under the additional assumption that the base is a small disc Δ_ϵ . We observe first of all that $\langle \bar{L}, \bar{M} \rangle$ is a line bundle extending $\langle L, M \rangle$ over Δ . Following Proposition 9.2 we have that $\langle \bar{L}, \bar{M} \rangle = \langle \bar{L}, \bar{M} \rangle + c[0]$ if and only if $\log \|s\| \sim c \log |t|$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ for a generating section s of $\langle \bar{L}, \bar{M} \rangle$. The latter condition is equivalent with

$$\log \|\langle \ell, m \rangle\| \sim (\text{ord}_0 \langle \ell, m \rangle + c) \log |t|$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. We will compute the value of c from this estimate. Let G be the dual graph of $\bar{X}_0$. Viewing ℓ, m as rational sections of $\bar{L}, \bar{M}$ we may write

$$\text{div } \ell = \overline{\text{div}_X \ell} + \sum_{x \in V(G)} a(x)x, \quad \text{div } m = \overline{\text{div}_X m} + \sum_{y \in V(G)} b(y)y$$

for suitable $a(x), b(y) \in \mathbb{Q}$. Still assuming that the supports of $\overline{\text{div}_X \ell}$ and $\overline{\text{div}_X m}$ do not meet, we find that the local intersection product $(\text{div } \ell \cdot \text{div } m)_0$ of $\text{div } \ell$ and $\text{div } m$ above the origin satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{div } \ell \cdot \text{div } m)_0 &= \overline{\text{div}_X m} \cdot \sum_{x \in V(G)} a(x)x + \overline{\text{div}_X \ell} \cdot \sum_{y \in V(G)} b(y)y \\ &+ \sum_{x,y \in V(G)} a(x)b(y)(x \cdot y). \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(\overline{\text{div}_X m} \cdot \sum_{x \in V(G)} a(x)x) \log |t| \sim (\log \|\ell\|)[\text{div } m],$$

and similarly

$$(\overline{\text{div}_X \ell} \cdot \sum_{y \in V(G)} b(y)y) \log |t| \sim (\log \|m\|)[\text{div } \ell].$$

As moreover

$$\text{ord}_0 \langle \ell, m \rangle = (\text{div } \ell \cdot \text{div } m)_0$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_0 \langle \ell, m \rangle \log |t| &\sim (\log \|\ell\|) [\text{div } m] + (\log \|m\|) [\text{div } \ell] \\ &\quad + \sum_{x, y \in V(G)} a(x)b(y)(x \cdot y) \log |t|. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that

$$\log \|\langle \ell, m \rangle\| = (\log \|m\|) [\text{div } \ell] + \int_{X_t} \log \|\ell\| c_1(M)$$

it follows that if $\overline{\langle L, M \rangle} = \langle \bar{L}, \bar{M} \rangle + c[0]$ then

$$\begin{aligned} c \log |t| &\sim \log \|\langle \ell, m \rangle\| - \text{ord}_0 \langle \ell, m \rangle \log |t| \\ &\sim \int_{X_t} \log \|\ell\| c_1(M) - (\log \|\ell\|) [\text{div } m] - \sum_{x, y \in V(G)} a(x)b(y)(x \cdot y) \log |t| \\ &\sim \int_{X_t} \log \|\ell\| c_1(M) - \sum_{x \in V(G)} a(x) (\overline{\text{div}_X m} \cdot x) \log |t| \\ &\quad - \sum_{x, y \in V(G)} a(x)b(y)(x \cdot y) \log |t| \\ &\sim \int_{X_t} \log \|\ell\| c_1(M) - \sum_{x \in V(G)} a(x) (\bar{M} \cdot x) \log |t|. \end{aligned}$$

From (11.2) we obtain that

$$\int_{X_t} \log \|\ell\| c_1(M) \sim \sum_{e \in \Sigma_0} \int_{X_t \cap U_{e, \epsilon}} \psi(\log \|\ell\|) c_1(M) + \sum_{x \in V(G)} a(x) (\bar{M} \cdot x) \log |t|.$$

We conclude that

$$c \log |t| \sim \sum_{e \in \Sigma_0} \int_{X_t \cap U_{e, \epsilon}} \psi(\log \|\ell\|) c_1(M).$$

This finally proves our formula for $\overline{\langle L, M \rangle}$. $\square$

12. ASYMPTOTICS OF THE PERIOD AND ABEL-JACOBI MAP

We will apply Theorem 11.1 to a semistable curve $\bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta^n$ over the polydisk, with $\bar{X}$ smooth, and with a given section $P: \Delta^n \rightarrow \bar{X}$ with image passing through the smooth locus, and with both L, M equal to the C^∞ hermitian line bundle $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$ on X . Thus, we need to study the behavior of the log norma of a section of $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$ on open coordinate neighborhoods $U_{e, \epsilon}$ of both types. From Proposition 5.2 we see that it suffices to control the maps $\text{Im } \Omega$ and $\text{Im } \delta_P$ on $U_{e, \epsilon}$. An expansion in local coordinates can for both maps be obtained from general results on the asymptotic behavior of variations of (mixed) Hodge structure. In this section we discuss these results, and the application to our situation. Most of the definitions and general results below are taken from [PS, Section 14.4], [SZ, Section 2] and [Pe]. When R is a ring, and r a positive integer, we denote by $M_r(R)$ the set of square matrices of size r with values in R , and by $S_r(R) \subset M_r(R)$ the set of symmetric matrices of size r .

Our first aim is to formulate a Nilpotent Orbit theorem in a rather general setting. Let V be a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$, and N a nilpotent endomorphism of V . There is then a unique increasing filtration $L_\bullet$ of V such that

- (1) for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has $NL_i \subseteq L_{i-2}$,
- (2) for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ one has that N^i induces an isomorphism $N^i: \text{Gr}_i^L V \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr}_{-i}^L V$ of vector spaces.

Assume that V is moreover equipped with a finite increasing filtration $W_\bullet$, such that N preserves $W_\bullet$. An increasing filtration $M_\bullet$ of V is then called a weight filtration for N relative to $W_\bullet$ if:

- (1) for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has $NM_i \subseteq M_{i-2}$,
- (2) for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ one has that N^i induces an isomorphism

$$N^i: \text{Gr}_{k+i}^M \text{Gr}_k^W V \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr}_{k-i}^M \text{Gr}_k^W V$$

of vector spaces.

It can be shown that if a weight filtration for N relative to $W_\bullet$ exists on V , it is unique. An endomorphism Φ of V is called strict if $\Phi^{-1}(W_k) = W_k + \text{Ker } \Phi$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 12.1. *If $W_\bullet$ is of length two (in the sense that for some k , $V = W_k$ and $W_{k-2} = 0$), and if the weight filtration for N relative to $W_\bullet$ exists, then all positive powers N^i of N are strict. Moreover, under these assumptions the relative weight filtration $M_\bullet$ is the “convolution” of $W_\bullet$ and the filtration $L_\bullet$ associated to N , that is, for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$M_i = \sum_{j+k=i} (L_j \cap W_k).$$

Proof. This follows from [SZ, Proposition 2.16] and [SZ, Proposition 2.11]. $\square$

Let Y be a complex manifold. A graded-polarized variation of mixed Hodge structures on Y is a local system $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow Y$ of finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules equipped with:

- (1) a finite increasing filtration

$$W_\bullet: \quad 0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq W_k \subseteq W_{k+1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq V_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

of $V_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ by local subsystems, called the weight filtration,

- (2) a finite decreasing filtration

$$\mathcal{F}^\bullet: \quad V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathcal{F}^{p-1} \supseteq \mathcal{F}^p \supseteq \dots \supseteq 0$$

of the flat vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = V_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y$ by holomorphic subbundles, called the Hodge filtration,

- (3) for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ a non-degenerate bilinear form

$$Q_k: \text{Gr}_k^W(V_{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes \text{Gr}_k^W(V_{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_Y$$

of parity $(-1)^k$,

such that:

- (1) for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ the Gauss-Manin connection ∇ on $\mathcal{V}$ has $\nabla \mathcal{F}^p \subseteq \Omega_Y^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}^{p-1}$,

- (2) for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the triple $(\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}), \mathcal{F}^{\bullet} \mathrm{Gr}_k^{\mathbf{W}}(\mathcal{V}), \mathbf{Q}_k)$ is a variation of pure polarized rational Hodge structures of weight k . Here for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ we write $\mathcal{F}^p \mathrm{Gr}_k^{\mathbf{W}}(\mathcal{V})$ for the image of $\mathcal{F}^p \mathcal{V} \cap \mathbf{W}_k \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ in $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\mathbf{W}}(\mathcal{V})$ under the projection map $\mathbf{W}_k \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_k^{\mathbf{W}}(\mathcal{V})$.

Let $(V, W_{\bullet}, F^{\bullet})$ be a mixed Hodge structure. Then $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ has a unique bigrading $I^{\bullet, \bullet}$ such that

$$F^p V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{r \geq p, s} I^{r, s}, \quad W_k V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus_{r+s \leq k} I^{r, s}, \quad I^{r, s} = \bar{I}^{s, r} \bmod \bigoplus_{p < r, q < s} I^{p, q}.$$

We call $h^{r, s} = \dim I^{r, s}$ the Hodge numbers of $(V, W_{\bullet}, F^{\bullet})$. Given a triple $(V, W_{\bullet}, Q_k)$ with V a rational vector space, $W_{\bullet}$ an increasing filtration of V , and Q_k a collection of non-degenerate bilinear forms of parity $(-1)^k$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\mathbf{W}}(V)$, and a partition of $\dim V$ into a sum of non-negative integers $h = \{h^{r, s}\}$, there exists a natural classifying space (usually called a period domain) $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(h)$ of mixed Hodge structures $(W_{\bullet}, F^{\bullet})$ on V which are graded-polarized by Q_k . Let $G = G(V, W_{\bullet}, Q_k)$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraic group $\{g \in GL(V)^{\mathbb{W}} \mid \mathrm{Gr}_k^{\mathbf{W}}(g) \in \mathrm{Aut}(Q_k)\}$. Then $G(\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{M}$, and provides $\mathcal{M}$ with an embedding in a “compact dual” $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \supset \mathcal{M}$, which is the orbit, inside a flag variety parametrizing decreasing filtrations of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ compatible with $W_{\bullet}$ and the given Hodge numbers, of any point in $\mathcal{M}$ under the action of $G(\mathbb{C})$. The inclusion $\mathcal{M} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ gives $\mathcal{M}$ a natural structure of complex manifold. The period domain $\mathcal{M}$ carries a tautological graded-polarized variation of mixed Hodge structures.

Let $(V, W_{\bullet}, \mathcal{F}^{\bullet}, Q_k)$ be a graded-polarized variation of mixed Hodge structures over the punctured unit disc Δ^* . Since $W_{\bullet}$ is a filtration by local subsystems, the monodromy group $\pi_1(\Delta^*)$ preserves $W_{\bullet}$. The monodromy on V is unipotent if and only if the monodromy on each $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is unipotent. Let $(V, W_{\bullet}, F^{\bullet}, Q_k)$ be a reference fiber of $(V, W_{\bullet}, \mathcal{F}^{\bullet}, Q_k)$, and let T be the canonical generator of $\pi_1(\Delta^*)$. Then we write $N = \log T$ for the logarithm of the monodromy operator acting on V . If T is unipotent, then N is nilpotent.

We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ the canonical extension of $\mathcal{V}$, i.e. the unique extension of $\mathcal{V}$ as a holomorphic vector bundle over Δ such that ∇ acquires at worst a simple pole at the origin with nilpotent residue. Then the weight filtration and graded-polarization both extend naturally to $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$, and each $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\mathbf{W}} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is the canonical extension of $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\mathbf{W}} \mathcal{V}$. Moreover, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the Hodge filtration $\mathcal{F}^{\bullet} \mathrm{Gr}_k^{\mathbf{W}}(\mathcal{V})$ extends to a Hodge filtration ${}^k \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}$ of $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\mathbf{W}} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$.

We call the variation $(V, W_{\bullet}, \mathcal{F}^{\bullet}, Q_k)$ admissible if

- (1) the monodromy operator T is unipotent,
- (2) the weight filtration $M_{\bullet}(V, W_{\bullet}, N)$ for N relative to $W_{\bullet}$ exists on V ,
- (3) the Hodge filtration $\mathcal{F}^{\bullet}$ extends to a filtration $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ which induces ${}^k \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}_k^{\mathbf{W}} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let Y be a complex manifold, and let $(V, W_{\bullet}, \mathcal{F}^{\bullet}, Q_k)$ be a graded-polarized variation of mixed Hodge structures over Y . We assume that Y is an open submanifold of a manifold $\bar{Y}$, with $D = \bar{Y} \setminus Y$ a normal crossings divisor. Then we call $(V, W_{\bullet}, \mathcal{F}^{\bullet}, Q_k)$ admissible if all local monodromies around the branches of D are unipotent, and for all holomorphic maps $\bar{f}: \Delta \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ with $\bar{f}(\Delta^*)$ contained in Y the variation $f^* V$ on Δ^* is admissible. Here f is the restriction of $\bar{f}$ to Δ^* .

Now let $X \subset \bar{X}$, $Y \subset \bar{Y}$ be open inclusions of smooth complex algebraic varieties, with $\bar{X} \setminus X$ and $\bar{Y} \setminus Y$ both a normal crossings divisor, and let $\bar{\pi}: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be a morphism. Assume that $\bar{\pi}$ restricts to a flat proper morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$. Then

for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ the cohomology sheaf $\mathbf{V} = R^i \pi_* \mathbb{Z}_X$ has a canonical structure of admissible graded-polarized variation of mixed Hodge structures $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, Q_k)$.

We have the following compatibility between the graded-polarization and monodromy. Let $(V, W_\bullet, F^\bullet, Q_k)$ be a reference fiber of $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, Q_k)$ near $D = \bar{Y} \setminus Y$. Denote the local monodromy operators around the branches of D by $T_1, \dots, T_m$, and the corresponding monodromy logarithms by $N_1, \dots, N_m$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra $\text{Lie } G(\mathbb{R})$ of the real points of the algebraic group $G = G(V, W_\bullet, Q_k)$. Then the T_i belong to $G(\mathbb{R})$, and the N_i belong to $\mathfrak{g}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$.

The $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ -span $\mathcal{C}$ of the local monodromy logarithms N_i inside $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } G(\mathbb{R})$ is called the monodromy cone of $(V, W_\bullet, F^\bullet, Q_k)$. Each element of $\mathcal{C}$ is nilpotent, and it turns out that the relative weight filtration of $(V, W_\bullet)$ is constant on $\mathcal{C}$. Using parallel transport along ∇ , the central fiber $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(0)$ is identified with the reference fiber V . The relative weight filtration of any element of $\mathcal{C}$ thus gives rise to a filtration $M_\bullet$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(0)$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet(0)$ be the limit Hodge filtration on $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(0)$. Then $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(0), \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet(0), M_\bullet)$ is a mixed Hodge structure, called the limit mixed Hodge structure of the variation.

Let $Y = (\Delta^*)^m \times \Delta^{n-m}$, and let $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, Q_k)$ be an admissible graded-polarized variation of mixed Hodge structures over Y , with Hodge numbers $h = \{h^{r,s}\}$. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(h)$ be the associated period domain. Let $(V, W_\bullet, F^\bullet, Q_k)$ be a reference fiber at a point $t_0 \in Y$ near the origin, and write $G = G(V, W_\bullet, Q_k)$ as above. We have a monodromy representation $\rho: \pi_1(Y, t_0) \rightarrow G(\mathbb{Q})$. Denoting by $\Gamma \subset G(\mathbb{Q})$ its image we have a natural associated period map $\phi: Y \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{M}$, which is holomorphic and locally liftable. The map ϕ associates to $t \in Y$ the Hodge filtration of $\mathbf{V}_t$.

Let U be Siegel's upper half plane. We denote by $e: U^m \rightarrow (\Delta^*)^m$ the uniformization map given by $(z_1, \dots, z_m) \mapsto (\exp(2\pi i z_1), \dots, \exp(2\pi i z_m))$. We note that via e the period map ϕ lifts to a map $\tilde{\phi}: U^m \times \Delta^{n-m} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Let N_i for $i = 1, \dots, m$ denote the monodromy logarithms around the branches of the boundary divisor of Y in Δ^n . Then as $N_i \in \text{Lie } G(\mathbb{R})$ we have $\exp(\sum_{i=1}^m z_i N_i) \in G(\mathbb{C})$ for all $z_1, \dots, z_m \in U$. Let then $\tilde{\psi}: U^m \times \Delta^{n-m} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ be the map

$$\tilde{\psi}(z_1, \dots, z_m, t_{m+1}, \dots, t_n) = \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^m z_i N_i\right) \tilde{\phi}(z_1, \dots, z_m, t_{m+1}, \dots, t_n).$$

Then $\tilde{\psi}$ descends to an “untwisted” period map $\psi: (\Delta^*)^m \times \Delta^{n-m} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$.

In [Pe, Section 6] G. Pearlstein proves the following Nilpotent Orbit theorem for admissible graded-polarized variations of mixed Hodge structures, generalizing work of Schmid, Cattani-Kaplan, Deligne and Steenbrink-Zucker.

Theorem 12.2. *Let $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W}_\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet, Q_k)$ be an admissible graded-polarized variation of mixed Hodge structures over $Y = (\Delta^*)^m \times \Delta^{n-m}$. (a) The untwisted period map ψ extends to a holomorphic map $\psi: \Delta^n \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. (b) The value $\psi(0)$ at zero coincides with the Hodge filtration of the limit mixed Hodge structure $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(0), \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet(0), M_\bullet)$.*

Our aim in the following is to make this result explicit for the case of the usual period and Abel-Jacobi map associated to a smooth proper curve $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$. We mention [Ca, Section 1], [Fr, Section 2], [Ha03] as references for the material below. However, we warn the reader that these references deal with the Hodge structure on cohomology (pure weight +1) of curves, whereas here we deal with homology (pure weight -1).

If $(V, F^\bullet, W_\bullet)$ is a mixed Hodge structure, we decide to call $\text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^1(\mathbb{Z}(0), V)$ the generalized jacobian of $(V, F^\bullet, W_\bullet)$. For example, let C be a semistable complex curve of arithmetic genus h , and write $M = H_1(C)$. Then we let $\text{Jac}(C) = \text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^1(\mathbb{Z}(0), M)$ be the generalized jacobian of (the homology) of C . It turns out that $\text{Jac } C$ can be given the structure of complex algebraic group, namely the group of isomorphism classes of invertible sheaves on C that are of degree zero on every component of C . Let $\nu: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ denote the normalization of C , and write $U = H_1(\tilde{C}) = \bigoplus H_1(\tilde{C}_i)$, with $\tilde{C}_i$ the normalizations of the irreducible components of C . Let $E = \text{Jac}(U) = \bigoplus \text{Jac}(\tilde{C}_i)$. Then E is the jacobian of a pure polarized Hodge structure of type $\{(-1, 0), (0, -1)\}$. Write $F = \text{Jac } C$.

Let G be the dual graph of C . Pulling back invertible sheaves along ν gives a canonical extension of algebraic groups

$$(12.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow H^1(G) \otimes \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking the homotopy long exact sequence of (12.1) we obtain an extension of mixed Hodge structures

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G) \otimes \mathbb{Z}(1) \rightarrow H_1(C) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Vice versa, we may obtain (12.1) by applying $\text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^1(\mathbb{Z}(0), -)$ to the above extension.

Let $P, Q \in C$ be points. Then we have an extension

$$0 \rightarrow M = H_1(C) \rightarrow H_1(C, \{P, Q\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(0) \rightarrow 0$$

of mixed Hodge structures. Generalizing the notation from Section 4 we denote by $\int_Q^P$ the corresponding element of $F = \text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^1(\mathbb{Z}(0), M)$. By a slight abuse of notation, we also denote by $\int_Q^P$ the resulting image in $E = \text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^1(\mathbb{Z}(0), U)$ under the projection $F \rightarrow E$.

An alternative way of describing $\int_Q^P$ is as follows. Let $\omega_C, \omega_{\tilde{C}}$ denote the dualizing sheaves of C and $\tilde{C}$, respectively. The canonical map $\nu_* \omega_{\tilde{C}} \rightarrow \omega_C$ yields an inclusion $\omega_{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow \omega_C(C)$ and hence a surjection $\omega_C(C)^\vee \rightarrow \omega_{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{C})^\vee$. This surjection coincides with the induced map $\text{Lie}(F) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(E)$ from (12.1). We can alternatively write $E = \omega_{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{C})^\vee / H_1(\tilde{C})$, and we find the following lemma.

Lemma 12.3. *The Abel-Jacobi element $\int_Q^P$ of $E = \omega_{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{C})^\vee / H_1(\tilde{C})$ is the functional given by integrating elements of $\omega_{\tilde{C}}(\tilde{C}) \subset \omega_C(C)$ along paths from P to Q over C .*

Let $\bar{Y} = \Delta^n$, and let $\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be a semistable curve, which we assume to be smooth over $Y = (\Delta^*)^m \times \Delta^{n-m}$. The semistability assumption implies that all local monodromy operators $T_1, \dots, T_m$ about the various branches determined by the first m coordinates $t_1, \dots, t_m$ are unipotent.

Let $V = R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{Z}_X(1)$. Then V underlies a canonical admissible variation of pure polarized Hodge structure $(V, F^\bullet, Q)$ of weight -1 over Y . From now on, we will usually suppress the polarizations from our notation, as they will be clear from the context.

Let $\bar{X}_0$ be the fiber of $\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ over the origin, let G be its dual graph, and let $\nu: \tilde{X}_0 \rightarrow \bar{X}_0$ be its normalization. Our discussion above yields an extension of

mixed Hodge structures

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G) \rightarrow H_1(\bar{X}_0) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{X}_0) \rightarrow 0$$

with $H^1(G)$ pure of type $(-1, -1)$. Let $(V, F^\bullet)$ be a reference fiber of $\mathbf{V}$ near the origin. By construction of the limit mixed Hodge structure on the central fiber of the canonical extension of $\mathcal{V}$, the first part $H^1(G) \rightarrow H_1(\tilde{X}_0)$ of the extension can be realized as a piece of the monodromy (relative) weight filtration on V . More precisely, let N be any element of the monodromy cone associated to V , then we have $N^2 = 0$ and the associated filtration on V reads

$$M_\bullet: \quad 0 \subset M_{-2} \subset M_{-1} \subset M_0 = V,$$

with (given our choice of coordinates) canonical identifications $M_{-2} = \text{Im } N \cong H^1(G)$ and $M_{-1} = \text{Ker } N \cong H_1(\tilde{X}_0)$.

Let $r = \text{rank } H^1(G)$. We can choose a symplectic basis $(e_1, \dots, e_h, f_1, \dots, f_h)$ of (V, Q) such that:

- (1) $H^1(G) = \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_r)$,
- (2) $H_1(\tilde{X}_0) = \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_h, f_{r+1}, \dots, f_h)$,

In particular, $(\bar{e}_{r+1}, \dots, \bar{e}_h, \bar{f}_{r+1}, \dots, \bar{f}_h)$ is a symplectic basis of the pure polarized Hodge structure $\text{Gr}_{-1}^M V \cong H_1(\tilde{X}_0)$ of type $\{(-1, 0), (0, -1)\}$. With respect to our chosen basis, each local monodromy operator N_j has the form

$$N_j = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & A_j \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

where A_j is an integral symmetric positive semi-definite h -by- h matrix, and where each non-zero element of A_j is in the left upper r -by- r block of A_j .

The period domain associated to $\mathbf{V}$ can be realized as Siegel's upper half space U_h of degree h . We have $G(V) = \text{Sp}(2h)_\mathbb{Q}$, and the action of $G(V)(\mathbb{R})$ on U_h is given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right) \cdot M = (AM + B)(CM + D)^{-1}, \quad \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array} \right) \in \text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{R}), \quad M \in U_h.$$

The period map $\Omega: Y \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash U_h$ is given by associating to each $t \in Y$ the period matrix of X_t on the chosen symplectic basis of V (cf. Section 4). Here Γ is the image of the monodromy representation into $G(V)(\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 12.4. (a) *There exists a holomorphic map $\psi: \Delta^n \rightarrow S_h(\mathbb{C})$ such that for $(z, t) \in U^m \times \Delta^{n-m}$ with $e(z)$ sufficiently close to zero the equality*

$$\Omega(e(z), t) = \sum_{j=1}^m A_j z_j + \psi(e(z), t)$$

holds in $S_h(\mathbb{C})$. (b) Writing

$$\psi = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \psi_{11} & \psi_{12} \\ \hline \psi_{21} & \psi_{22} \end{array} \right)$$

with ψ_{11} an r -by- r matrix we have that $\psi_{22}(0)$ is equal to the period matrix of $(\text{Gr}_{-1}^M V, \tilde{F}^\bullet(0))$ on the symplectic basis $(\bar{e}_{r+1}, \dots, \bar{e}_h, \bar{f}_{r+1}, \dots, \bar{f}_h)$.

Proof. First of all, we have $\exp(N_j z_j)M = A_j z_j + M$ for each $M \in U_h$, $z_j \in U$, and $j = 1, \dots, m$. It follows in particular that the untwisted period map $\psi: \Delta^n \rightarrow \check{U}_h$ factors through $S_h(\mathbb{C}) \subset \check{U}_h$. Part (a) then follows from Theorem 12.2(a). As for part (b), we know by Theorem 12.2(b) that $\psi(0)$ is the period matrix of the limit mixed Hodge structure $(V, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet(0), M_\bullet)$ of $(V, \mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ on the symplectic basis $(e_1, \dots, e_h, f_1, \dots, f_h)$ of V . That is, there exists a basis $(v_1, \dots, v_h)$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(0)V_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that the identities $v_i = -\sum_{j=1}^h \psi(0)_{ij} e_j + f_i$ hold in $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, h$. Note that $v_{r+1}, \dots, v_h$ are all in $M_{-1, \mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}\text{-span}(e_1, \dots, e_h, f_{r+1}, \dots, f_h)$. Let $p: \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(0)V_{\mathbb{C}} \cap M_{-1, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(0)(M_{-1, \mathbb{C}}/M_{-2, \mathbb{C}})$ be the projection. Then we have the identities $p(v_i) = -\sum_{j=r+1}^h \psi(0)_{ij} \bar{e}_j + \bar{f}_i$ in $M_{-1, \mathbb{C}}/M_{-2, \mathbb{C}} = \text{Gr}_{-1}^M V_{\mathbb{C}}$ for $i = r+1, \dots, h$. As the $p(v_i)$ are clearly linearly independent and as $\dim \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(0)\text{Gr}_{-1}^M V_{\mathbb{C}} = h - r$ we conclude that $\psi_{22}(0)$ is the period matrix of the pure polarized Hodge structure $\text{Gr}_{-1}^M V$ on its symplectic basis $(\bar{e}_{r+1}, \dots, \bar{e}_h, \bar{f}_{r+1}, \dots, \bar{f}_h)$. $\square$

Now assume two sections P, Q of $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ are given with image passing through the smooth locus $\text{Sm}(\pi)$ of π . Over each $t \in Y$ we obtain an extension

$$0 \rightarrow H_1(X_t) \rightarrow H_1(X_t, \{P_t, Q_t\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(0) \rightarrow 0$$

in the category of mixed Hodge structures. Varying $t \in Y$ we obtain a canonical extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}(P, Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(0) \rightarrow 0$$

of variations of mixed Hodge structure over Y .

We are interested in the asymptotics of the period map of the variation of graded-polarized mixed Hodge structures $\mathbf{V}(P, Q)$ near $D = \bar{Y} \setminus Y$. The weight filtration is

$$W_\bullet: \quad 0 \subset W_{-1} = \mathbf{V} \subset W_0 = \mathbf{V}(P, Q),$$

so that $\text{Gr}_{-1}^{W_0} \mathbf{V}(P, Q) = \mathbf{V}$, $\text{Gr}_0^{W_0} \mathbf{V}(P, Q) = \mathbb{Z}(0)$. We denote the Hodge filtration of $\mathbf{V}(P, Q)$ by $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$. We start by taking a reference fiber $V(P, Q)$ of $\mathbf{V}(P, Q)$ and augmenting our chosen symplectic basis of V by an $e_0 \in V(P, Q)$ lifting the canonical generator of $\mathbb{Z}(0)$ as in Section 4. Letting $M_\bullet$ as before be the monodromy (relative) weight filtration on V , we recall that, given our choice of coordinates, there is a natural isomorphism $M_{-1} \cong H_1(X_0)$. The topological construction of this isomorphism via Picard-Lefschetz theory (cf. [ACG, Section X.9]) makes it clear that, likewise, the relative homology $H_1(X_0, \{P(0), Q(0)\})$ can be realized inside $V(P, Q)$, as the submodule $M_{-1} + \mathbb{Z}e_0$.

As $\mathbf{V}(P, Q)$ is a variation of graded-polarized mixed Hodge structures arising from geometry, it is admissible. It follows that the relative weight filtration $M'_\bullet$ on our reference fiber $V(P, Q)$ exists. Let N be any element from the monodromy cone acting on $V(P, Q)$. Our first aim is to determine the matrix shape of N on our chosen basis $(e_0, e_1, \dots, e_h, f_1, \dots, f_h)$ of $V(P, Q)$. As $N^2 = 0$, the filtration associated to N on $V(P, Q)$ is

$$L_\bullet: \quad 0 \subset L_{-1} \subset L_0 \subset L_1 = V(P, Q),$$

with $L_{-1} = \text{Im}(N)$, $L_0 = \text{Ker}(N)$. On the other hand, the weight filtration is

$$W_\bullet: \quad 0 \subset W_{-1} = V \subset W_0 = V(P, Q),$$

which is clearly compatible with N . As the monodromy action on $\text{Gr}_0^W = \mathbb{Z}(0)$ is trivial, we have that $\text{Im}(N) \subset V$, so that $N^{-1}V = V(P, Q)$. As $W_\bullet$ has length two,

by Proposition 12.1 we have that N is strict, and moreover the weight filtration of N relative to $W_\bullet$ is equal to the convolution of $L_\bullet$ and $W_\bullet$. That is, we have that $V(P, Q) = N^{-1}V = V + \text{Ker}(N)$, and

$$M'_\bullet: \quad 0 \subset M'_{-2} \subset M'_{-1} \subset M'_0 \subset M'_{-1} = V(P, Q)$$

with $M'_{-2} = \text{Im}(N)$, $M'_{-1} = \text{Im}(N) + \text{Ker}(N|_V) = \text{Ker}(N|_V) = M_1$, $M'_0 = V + \text{Ker}(N) = V(P, Q)$ is the weight filtration of N relative to $W_\bullet$. The equality $V(P, Q) = V + \text{Ker}(N)$ (i.e., the strictness of N) implies that $\text{Ker}(N) \supsetneq \text{Ker}(N|_V)$ and hence that $\text{Im}(N) = \text{Im}(N|_V)$, that is, $M'_{-2} = M_{-2}$.

The period domain associated to $(V(P, Q), W_\bullet)$ is $\mathbb{C}^h \times U_h$, and the associated algebraic group has $\mathbb{Z}$ -points

$$G(V(P, Q), W_\bullet)(\mathbb{Z}) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ m & A & B \\ n & C & D \end{array} \right) : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^h, \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ C & D \end{array} \right) \in \text{Sp}(2h, \mathbb{Z}) \right\}.$$

The action of $G(V(P, Q), W_\bullet)(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{C}^h \times U_h$ is given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ m & A & B \\ n & C & D \end{array} \right) (v, M) = (v + m + Mn, (AM + B)(CM + D)^{-1}), \quad v \in \mathbb{C}^h, M \in U_h.$$

Varying $t \in Y$ and then taking F^0 we obtain a $\mathbb{C}^h \times U_h$ -valued period map

$$(\delta, \Omega): Y \rightarrow \Gamma \setminus (\mathbb{C}^h \times U_h)$$

associated to the variation $\mathbf{V}(P, Q)$. For each $t \in Y$, the vector $\delta(t)$ is a lift of the Abel-Jacobi element in $\mathbb{C}^h / (\mathbb{Z}^h + \Omega(t)\mathbb{Z}^h)$ associated to the divisor $P(t) - Q(t)$ of X_t .

Proposition 12.5. (a) *There exist a holomorphic single-valued map $\alpha: \Delta^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^h$ and vectors $b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{Q}^h$ with $A_j b_j \in \mathbb{Z}^h$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ such that for $(z, t) \in U^m \times \Delta^{n-m}$ with $e(z)$ sufficiently close to zero the equality*

$$\delta(e(z), t) = \sum_{j=1}^m A_j b_j z_j + \alpha(e(z), t)$$

holds in $\mathbb{C}^h$. (b) Writing $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with $\alpha_1: \Delta^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ we have that the vector $\alpha_2(0)$ lifts the Abel-Jacobi element $\int_{Q(0)}^{P(0)}$ of $E = \text{Jac}(\tilde{X}_0)$ determined by integrating elements of $\omega_{\tilde{X}_0}(\tilde{X}_0)$ along paths from $P(0)$ to $Q(0)$ on $\tilde{X}_0$.

Proof. Let N_j denote the local monodromy operator of $V(P, Q)$ around the branch of D determined by $t_j = 0$. The equality $\text{Im}(N_j) = \text{Im}(N_j|_V)$ which we established above shows that N_j has a matrix

$$N_j = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_j b_j & 0 & A_j \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

on the basis $(e_0, e_1, \dots, e_h, f_1, \dots, f_h)$, for some $b_j \in \mathbb{Q}^h$ such that $A_j b_j \in \mathbb{Z}^h$ for each $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then for all $(v, M) \in \mathbb{C}^h \times U_h$ and $z_j \in U$ we have $\exp(N_j z_j)(v, M) = (v + A_j b_j z_j, M + A_j z_j)$, and we find part (a) of the proposition by applying Theorem 12.2(a). As for part (b), we know by Theorem 12.2(b) that $\alpha(0)$ is the period vector of the limit mixed Hodge structure $(V(P, Q), \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}(0), M'_{\bullet})$ of the variation $\mathbf{V}(P, Q)$. That is, the normalized basis $(v_1, \dots, v_h)$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(0)V_{\mathbb{C}}$ on the symplectic basis $(e_1, \dots, e_h, f_1, \dots, f_h)$ that we obtained in the proof of Theorem 12.4(b) can be extended to a basis $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_h)$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(0)V(P, Q)_{\mathbb{C}}$ in such a way that the identity $v_0 = e_0 + \sum_{i=1}^h \alpha(0)_i e_i$ holds in $V(P, Q)_{\mathbb{C}}$. As we discussed above, the sub-mixed Hodge structure $M'_{-1} + \mathbb{Z}e_0$ of $(V(P, Q), \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}(0), M'_{\bullet})$ can be realized as the extension $H_1(X_0, \{P(0), Q(0)\})$ of $M'_{-1} \cong H_1(X_0)$. Let $U = M'_{-1}/M'_{-2} = M_{-1}/M_{-2} = \text{Gr}_{-1}^M V$ and $U(P, Q) = (M'_{-1} + \mathbb{Z}e_0)/M'_{-2}$. We then obtain an extension

$$(12.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow U(P, Q) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(0) \rightarrow 0$$

of mixed Hodge structures. From Lemma 12.3 we obtain that the element of $E = \text{Ext}_{\text{MHS}}^1(\mathbb{Z}(0), U)$ corresponding to (12.2) is the Abel-Jacobi element determined by integrating elements of $\omega_{\tilde{X}_0}(\tilde{X}_0)$ along paths from $P(0)$ to $Q(0)$ on $\tilde{X}_0$. Our task is thus to show that $\alpha_2(0) = (\alpha(0)_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha(0)_h)$ is a period vector of the mixed Hodge structure $U(P, Q)$. This can be done as follows. Let $p: \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(0)V_{\mathbb{C}} \cap M_{-1, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(0)U_{\mathbb{C}} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(0)(M_{-1, \mathbb{C}}/M_{-2, \mathbb{C}})$ be the projection. We recall from the proof of Theorem 12.4(b) that $v_{r+1}, \dots, v_h \in M_{-1, \mathbb{C}}$ and that $(p(v_{r+1}), \dots, p(v_h))$ is a basis of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(0)U_{\mathbb{C}}$. Next we note that $v_0 \in M'_{-1, \mathbb{C}} + \mathbb{C}e_0$. Let $q: \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0 V(P, Q)_{\mathbb{C}} \cap (M'_{-1, \mathbb{C}} + \mathbb{C}e_0) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(0)(M'_{-1, \mathbb{C}} + \mathbb{C}e_0)/M'_{-2, \mathbb{C}} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(0)U(P, Q)_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the projection. Then $(q(v_0), q(v_{r+1}), \dots, q(v_h))$ is a basis of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(0)U(P, Q)_{\mathbb{C}}$ extending the basis $(q(v_{r+1}), \dots, q(v_h)) = (p(v_{r+1}), \dots, p(v_h))$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0(0)U_{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover we have the identity $q(v_0) = \bar{e}_0 + \sum_{i=r+1}^h \alpha(0)_i \bar{e}_i$ in $U(P, Q)_{\mathbb{C}}$. This shows that $(\alpha(0)_{r+1}, \dots, \alpha(0)_h)$ is a period vector of $U(P, Q)$. $\square$

13. HARMONIC ANALYSIS ON METRIZED GRAPHS

The following discussion is based on [BF, Section 2], [CR, Section 2] and [Zh, Appendix].

Let Γ be a metric graph, and assume a vertex set V is given, with associated edge set E . For $e \in E$, let dy be the usual Lebesgue measure on e and $\ell(e)$ be the volume of e . For $x \in V$ with valence n , let $S(n, \epsilon) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_x \subset \Gamma$ be a star-shaped open neighborhood of x in Γ . A connected component of $U_x \setminus \{x\}$ is called an emanating direction from x . We denote the set of emanating directions from x by $E(x)$. Each emanating direction from x is naturally identified with a unit vector v_k connecting the origin in $\mathbb{C}$ with the root of unity $\exp(2\pi i k/n)$. For f an $\mathbb{R}$ -valued function on Γ which is smooth outside V with respect to the measure dy , we define the one-sided derivative of f at x in the direction $v \in E(x)$ to be

$$df_v(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(x + yv) - f(x)}{y},$$

if the limit exists. We denote by $C(\Gamma)$ the set of $\mathbb{R}$ -valued continuous functions on Γ that are smooth outside V and for which $df_v(x)$ exists for all $x \in V$ and all emanating directions $v \in E(x)$. We denote by $C(\Gamma)^*$ the set of linear functionals

(or currents) on $C(\Gamma)$. For example, each $x \in V$ gives rise to a Dirac measure $\delta_x \in C(\Gamma)^*$ given by sending $f \mapsto f(x)$. Integration of currents over Γ gives a natural linear map $C(\Gamma)^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The Laplacian $\Delta: C(\Gamma) \rightarrow C(\Gamma)^*$ is the linear operator given by

$$\Delta(f) = \Delta^{\text{dis}}(f) + \Delta^{\text{cts}}(f), \quad \Delta^{\text{dis}}(f) = -\delta(f), \quad \Delta^{\text{cts}}(f) = -f''(y)dy,$$

where the map $\delta: C(\Gamma) \rightarrow C(\Gamma)^*$ is defined via

$$\delta(f) = \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{v \in E(x)} df_v(x) \delta_x$$

for all $f \in C(\Gamma)$.

We have the following connection with the usual discrete Laplacian $L: \mathbb{R}^V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V$ associated to the vertex set V (cf. Section 10). Then we obtain a linear map $L_V: C(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^V$ by putting $L_V(f) = L(f|_V)$ for $f \in C(\Gamma)$. Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^V \rightarrow C(\Gamma)^*$ be the canonical map sending a divisor $c = \sum_{x \in V} c(x)x$ to the corresponding Dirac measure $\psi(c) = \sum_{x \in V} c(x)\delta_x$. In particular we have $\psi(c)(g) = \sum_{x \in V} c(x)g(x)$ for all $g \in C(\Gamma)$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}^V$. Assume that $f \in C(\Gamma)$ is quadratic on each edge $e \in E$, and suppose that $f'' = a(e)dy/\ell(e)$ on $e \in E$. Let ν_f denote the discrete measure with support in V that assigns mass $\nu_f(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in E(x)} a(e)$ to $x \in V$. Then we have the equality of currents

$$(13.1) \quad -\delta(f) = \psi(L_V(f)) - \nu_f$$

in $C(\Gamma)^*$. Indeed, if x is endpoint e^+ of e and e lies in the emanating direction v from x then a calculation shows that

$$df_v(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(x+yv) - f(x)}{y} = \frac{f(e^-) - f(e^+)}{\ell(e)} - \frac{1}{2}a(e).$$

Let K_{can} be the divisor on Γ given by $K_{\text{can}}(x) = v(x) - 2$ for each $x \in V$. Let $r(e)$ denote the effective resistance between the endpoints of e in $\Gamma \setminus e^o$. This is set to be ∞ if $\Gamma \setminus e^o$ is disconnected. Then we define the currents

$$\mu_{\text{can}}^{\text{dis}} = -\frac{1}{2}\delta_{K_{\text{can}}}, \quad \mu_{\text{can}}^{\text{cts}} = \sum_{e \in E} \frac{dy}{\ell(e) + r(e)}, \quad \mu_{\text{can}} = \mu_{\text{can}}^{\text{dis}} + \mu_{\text{can}}^{\text{cts}}$$

in $C(\Gamma)^*$. We write

$$(13.2) \quad F(e) = \int_e \mu_{\text{can}}^{\text{cts}} = \frac{\ell(e)}{\ell(e) + r(e)}$$

for each $e \in E$. We have borrowed this notation from [BF], where $F(e)$ is called the ‘‘Foster coefficient’’. From [CR, Theorem 2.11] we deduce that $\int_{\Gamma} \mu_{\text{can}} = 1$.

For $x \in \Gamma$ we have $r(x, y) \in C(\Gamma)$. In fact $r(x, y)$ is piecewise quadratic. It follows from the proof of [CR, Theorem 2.11] (see in particular Lemma 2.14 of loc. cit.) that

$$(13.3) \quad \frac{1}{2}\Delta_y^{\text{dis}} r(x, y) = \mu_{\text{can}}^{\text{dis}} - \delta_x(y), \quad \frac{1}{2}\Delta_y^{\text{cts}} r(x, y) = \mu_{\text{can}}^{\text{cts}},$$

and hence

$$(13.4) \quad \frac{1}{2}\Delta_y r(x, y) = \mu_{\text{can}}(y) - \delta_x(y)$$

for all $x \in \Gamma$.

Let $t: e \xrightarrow{\sim} [0, \ell(e)]$ denote the chosen parametrization of e . We obtain the explicit formula

$$(13.5) \quad r(x, y) = r(x, e^-) \frac{t(y)}{\ell(e)} + r(x, e^+) \frac{\ell(e) - t(y)}{\ell(e)} + F(e) \frac{t(y)(\ell(e) - t(y))}{\ell(e)}.$$

In particular we find that

$$dr_v(x, e^+) = \frac{r(x, e^-) - r(x, e^+)}{\ell(e)} + F(e),$$

where v is the emanating direction from e^+ corresponding to e .

Let ν be the discrete measure supported on V with mass $\nu(v) = \sum_{e \in E(v)} F(e)$ at the vertex v . Combining (13.1) and (13.4) we find the identity

$$(13.6) \quad \psi(L_V(r(x, y))) = 2(\mu_{\text{can}}^{\text{dis}}(y) - \delta_x(y)) + \nu(y)$$

in $C(\Gamma)^*$, for all $x \in \Gamma$, which will turn out to be useful later.

14. ZHANG'S ADMISSIBLE MEASURE

In this section we recall from [Zh] the definition of the admissible measure on a polarized metric graph, and its associated Arakelov-Green's function. Also we introduce the epsilon-invariant, and prove a couple of identities for polarized metric graphs that we will need later.

Let Γ be a metric graph, with a given vertex set V and associated edge set E . Let $h \neq 0$ be a non-zero real number and let K be a divisor of degree $2h - 2$ on Γ . We define Zhang's admissible measure with respect to K to be the element

$$(14.1) \quad \mu = \frac{1}{2h} (\delta_K + 2\mu_{\text{can}})$$

of $C(\Gamma)^*$. It is clear that $\int_{\Gamma} \mu = 1$.

Let $x \in \Gamma$. Zhang's Arakelov-Green's function relative to K is the element of $C(\Gamma)$ determined by the conditions

$$(14.2) \quad \Delta_y g_{\mu}(x, y) = \delta_x(y) - \mu(y), \quad \int_{\Gamma} g_{\mu}(x, y) \mu(y) = 0.$$

Note the similarity with the definition of the usual Arakelov-Green's function in (5.3) and (5.4). We refer to [Zh, Section 3 and Appendix] for a proof that $g_{\mu}(x, y)$ exists for all $x \in \Gamma$, and a discussion of some of its main properties. For example, let $r(x, y)$ be the effective resistance between $x, y \in \Gamma$, then we have the identity

$$(14.3) \quad r(x, y) = g_{\mu}(x, x) - 2g_{\mu}(x, y) + g_{\mu}(y, y)$$

for all $x, y \in \Gamma$. See [Zh, Equation (3.5.1)].

Proposition 14.1. *There exists a real number $c(\Gamma, K)$ such that*

$$c(\Gamma, K) + g_{\mu}(x, x) + g_{\mu}(K, x) = 0$$

for all $x \in \Gamma$.

Proof. This is the content of [Zh, Theorem 3.2]. □

We let the epsilon-invariant of (Γ, K) be the real number

$$(14.4) \quad \varepsilon(\Gamma, K) = \int_{\Gamma} g_{\mu}(y, y) ((2h - 2)\mu(y) + \delta_K(y)).$$

Proposition 14.2. *We have*

$$\varepsilon(\Gamma, K) = 4(h-1)(g_\mu(x, x) + g_\mu(K, x)) - g_\mu(K, K)$$

for all $x \in \Gamma$.

Proof. From Proposition 14.1 we find

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(\Gamma, K) &= - \int_{\Gamma} (c(\Gamma, K) + g(K, y))((2h-2)\mu(y) + \delta_K(y)) \\ &= -4(h-1)c(\Gamma, K) - g_\mu(K, K). \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 14.1 again to eliminate $c(\Gamma, K)$ we find the required identity. $\square$

Let $x \in \Gamma$. We put

$$\tau(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} r(x, y) \mu_{\text{can}}(y).$$

By [CR, Lemma 2.16] this is independent of the choice of $x \in \Gamma$.

Proposition 14.3. *For each $x \in \Gamma$ we have*

$$2r(x, K) + 4\tau(\Gamma) = 4hg_\mu(x, x) + \varepsilon(\Gamma, K).$$

Proof. By [Mo96, Lemma 4.1] we have

$$(14.5) \quad \varepsilon(\Gamma, K) = 2hg_\mu(x, K) + r(x, K)$$

for all $x \in \Gamma$. Combining Proposition 14.1 and equation (14.5) we find

$$\begin{aligned} 2r(x, K) &= 2\varepsilon(\Gamma, K) - 4hg_\mu(x, K) \\ &= 4hg_\mu(x, x) + 2\varepsilon(\Gamma, K) + 4hc(\Gamma, K) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \Gamma$. We are done once we prove that $4hc(\Gamma, K) = -\varepsilon(\Gamma, K) - 4\tau(\Gamma)$. Proposition 14.1 implies

$$c(\Gamma, K) = - \int_{\Gamma} g_\mu(x, x) \mu(x).$$

From (14.3) we then readily get

$$c(\Gamma, K) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} r(x, y) \mu(x) \mu(y).$$

Note that equation (14.5) gives

$$\varepsilon(\Gamma, K) = \int_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} r(x, y) \delta_K(y) \mu(x).$$

We find

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(\Gamma, K) + 4hc(\Gamma, K) &= \int_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} r(x, y) \mu(x) (\delta_K(y) - 2h\mu(y)) \\ &= -2 \int_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} r(x, y) \mu(x) \mu_{\text{can}}(y) = -4\tau(\Gamma). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the proposition. $\square$

For each $e \in E$ and $x \in \Gamma$ we put

$$\tau^{\text{cts}}(\Gamma, x, e) = \frac{1}{2} \int_e r(x, y) \mu_{\text{can}}^{\text{cts}}(y).$$

Next we put for $x \in \Gamma$

$$\tau^{\text{cts}}(\Gamma, x) = \sum_{e \in E} \tau^{\text{cts}}(\Gamma, x, e) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} r(x, y) \mu_{\text{can}}^{\text{cts}}(y).$$

Furthermore we let

$$(14.6) \quad \eta(\Gamma, e) = \frac{1}{3} F(e)^2 \ell(e), \quad \eta(\Gamma) = \sum_{e \in E} \eta(\Gamma, e) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{e \in E} F(e)^2 \ell(e).$$

Note that $\eta(\Gamma) = 0$ if and only if Γ is a tree. Recall from Section 13 that we denote by ν the discrete measure supported on V with mass $\nu(v) = \sum_{e \in E(v)} F(e)$ at the vertex v .

Proposition 14.4. *Let $x \in \Gamma$. Then the identity*

$$4\tau^{\text{cts}}(\Gamma, x) = \eta(\Gamma) + \int_{\Gamma} r(x, y) \nu(y)$$

holds.

Proof. Let $e \in E$. Recall that $\mu_{\text{can}}^{\text{cts}}(y) = F(e) dy / \ell(e)$ on e . Using (13.5), putting $u(y) = t(y) / \ell(e)$ we compute

$$(14.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 4\tau^{\text{cts}}(\Gamma, x, e) &= 2 \int_e r(x, y) \mu_{\text{can}}^{\text{cts}}(y) \\ &= 2 F(e) \int_0^1 r(x, e^-) u + r(x, e^+) (1 - u) + F(e) \ell(e) u(1 - u) du \\ &= F(e) \cdot \left(r(x, e^-) + r(x, e^+) + \frac{F(e) \ell(e)}{3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$4\tau^{\text{cts}}(\Gamma, x) = \eta(\Gamma) + \sum_{e \in E} F(e) \cdot (r(x, e^-) + r(x, e^+)).$$

Observing that

$$\sum_{e \in E} F(e) \cdot (r(x, e^-) + r(x, e^+)) = \sum_{y \in V} r(x, y) \sum_{e \in E(y)} F(e) = \int_{\Gamma} r(x, y) \nu(y)$$

we obtain the proposition. $\square$

15. ZHANG'S ADMISSIBLE PAIRING ON A CURVE

One of the main points of [Zh] is that the Zhang-Arakelov-Green's function g_{μ} on a polarized metric graph leads to a canonical "admissible" intersection pairing of line bundles on curves with semistable reduction, with many properties analogous to the admissible theory on a compact Riemann surface determined by the Arakelov-Green's function g_{Ar} . Although the theory can be developed in a much wider generality, we restrict the discussion here to semistable curves over the complex unit disc.

Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable curve of positive genus, such that π is smooth over Δ^* . Let ω be the relative dualizing sheaf of π . Let G be the dual graph of the special fiber $\bar{X}_0$, and let Γ be the associated metric graph, with vertex set $V = V(G)$ and edge set $E = E(G)$. We let $C(\Gamma)$ and Δ be the associated function space and Laplacian on Γ as in Section 13.

A compactified divisor on Γ is an element of $\mathbb{R}^V \oplus C(\Gamma)$. If $D + f$ and $E + g$ are compactified divisors, we define their intersection product to be the real number

$$(D + f, E + g) = g(D) + f(E) - \int_{\Gamma} g \Delta_x f \, dx.$$

It can be shown that the intersection product is symmetric and bilinear. For a compactified divisor $D + f$ we call the current $\delta_D - \Delta f \in C(\Gamma)^*$ the curvature form of $D + f$.

Let $P(\bar{X})$ be the (additively written) group of line bundles on $\bar{X}$. We recall from Section 10 that we have a canonical specialization map $R: P(\bar{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{V(G)}$. For instance, we put $K = R(\omega)$. We note that $\deg K = 2h - 2$, where $h > 0$ is the genus of π . We call $\bar{P}(\bar{X}) = P(\bar{X}) \oplus C(\Gamma)$ the group of compactified line bundles on $\bar{X}$. For compactified line bundles $L + f$ and $M + g$ we let $\langle L + f, M + g \rangle$ be the line bundle on Δ given by

$$\langle L + f, M + g \rangle = \langle L, M \rangle + (R(L) + f, R(M) + g)[0],$$

where $\langle L, M \rangle$ is the usual Deligne pairing of L and M . The curvature form of $L + f$ is by definition the curvature form of the compactified divisor $R(L) + f$.

Let g_μ be Zhang's Arakelov-Green's function on (Γ, K) . An admissible line bundle on $\bar{X}$ is a compactified line bundle of the shape $L + c + g_\mu(R(L), x)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, where $L \in P(\bar{X})$ is arbitrary. The terminology "admissible" is explained by the fact that among the compactified line bundles, the admissible ones are precisely those whose curvature form is a multiple of μ (cf. Section 5).

Let $P: \Delta \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be a section of π with image contained in the smooth locus of π . Two important special cases of admissible line bundles on $\bar{X}$ are

$$\mathcal{O}(P)_a = \mathcal{O}(P) + g_\mu(R(P), x) \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_a = \omega + c(\Gamma, K) + g_\mu(K, x) = \omega - g_\mu(x, x),$$

where $c(\Gamma, K) \in \mathbb{R}$ is as in Proposition 14.1.

It follows easily from the definitions that for any two sections P, Q of $\bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ with image contained in $\text{Sm}(\pi)$ we have

$$(15.1) \quad \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_a, \mathcal{O}(Q)_a \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \mathcal{O}(Q) \rangle + g_\mu(x, y)[0],$$

where $x = R(P)$, $y = R(Q)$. Next, by [Zh, Theorem 4.2] resp. [Zh, Theorem 4.4] there exist canonical isomorphisms

$$(15.2) \quad \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_a, \omega_a(P_a) \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \omega_a, \omega_a \rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \omega, \omega \rangle - \varepsilon(G, K)[0]$$

of line bundles on Δ .

It is straightforward to extend these notions and results to generically smooth semistable curves $\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ where $\bar{Y}$ is a smooth complex algebraic curve. In this global context, one readily sees, using the local equalities (15.1) and (15.2), that Theorem 1.3 implies Theorem 1.4.

16. MAIN AUXILIARY RESULT

Let $\bar{Y}$ be a smooth complex algebraic variety, and let D be a normal crossings divisor on $\bar{Y}$. Write $Y = \bar{Y} \setminus D$. Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ be a semistable curve, assumed to be smooth over $Y \subset \bar{Y}$. We write $X = \pi^{-1}Y$ as usual. Let $j: J \rightarrow Y$ be the associated jacobian fibration. Assuming we have a section $P: \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ passing through the smooth locus, we have an associated Abel-Jacobi map $\delta_P: X \rightarrow J$. The family $J \rightarrow Y$ carries a canonical Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{B}$ with a canonical C^∞ hermitian metric. Let ω denote the relative dualizing sheaf of π . As before we put $\kappa_1 = \langle \omega, \omega \rangle$ and $\psi = P^*\omega = \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \omega \rangle$. The purpose of this section is to prove our main auxiliary result

Theorem 16.1. *The Lear extension of $\langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle$ over $\bar{Y}$ exists. In the case that $\bar{Y}$ is the unit disc, let (Γ, K) be the polarized metric graph associated to the dual graph G of $\bar{X}_0$. Denote by g_μ the Zhang Arakelov-Green's function of (Γ, K) , and by ε its epsilon-invariant. Then we have*

$$\overline{\langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle} = 4h\psi + \kappa_1 - (4hg_\mu(x, x) + \varepsilon)[0],$$

where $x \in V(G)$ is the irreducible component of $\bar{X}_0$ to which P specializes.

We will derive Theorem 16.1 from Propositions 16.2 and 16.3 below.

Proposition 16.2. *Let $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ be a semistable curve over the unit disc, and assume that the total space $\bar{X}$ is smooth. Also assume that a section P of π is given. Then the Lear extension of $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$ exists over $\bar{X}$. Let (Γ, K) be the polarized metrized graph associated to the dual graph G of $\bar{X}_0$. Denote by g_μ the Zhang Arakelov-Green's function of (Γ, K) , by ε the epsilon-invariant of (Γ, K) , and by η the eta-invariant of Γ as defined in (14.6). The Deligne pairing of $\overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}}$ with itself satisfies*

$$\langle \overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}}, \overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}} \rangle = 4h\psi + \kappa_1 - (4hg_\mu(x, x) + \varepsilon - \eta)[0]$$

where $x \in V(G)$ is the irreducible component of $\bar{X}_0$ to which P specializes.

Proof of Proposition 16.2. From Proposition 10.5 we obtain that $\overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}}$ exists and that

$$(16.1) \quad \overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}} = 2\mathcal{O}(P) + \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \omega \rangle + \omega - \sum_{y \in V(G)} r(x, y)y.$$

The (standard matrix of the) discrete Laplacian $L: \mathbb{R}^{V(G)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{V(G)}$ is equal to minus the intersection matrix of $\bar{X}_0$. We then obtain by direct calculation from (16.1) that

$$(16.2) \quad \langle \overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}}, \overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}} \rangle = 4h\psi + \kappa_1 - (2r(x, K) + \sum_{y, z \in V(G)} r(x, y)r(x, z)L(y, z))[0].$$

Denote by $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{V(G)} \rightarrow C(\Gamma)^*$ the canonical map. Let ν denote the discrete measure supported on V with mass $\nu(v) = \sum_{e \in E(v)} F(e)$ at the vertex v . Then following (13.6) we have

$$\psi\left(\sum_{z \in V(G)} r(x, z)L(y, z)\right) = 2(\mu_{\text{can}}^{\text{dis}}(y) - \delta_x(y)) + \nu(y).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{y,z \in V(G)} r(x,y)r(x,z)L(y,z) &= \int_{\Gamma} r(x,y)(2(\mu_{\text{can}}^{\text{dis}}(y) - \delta_x(y)) + \nu(y)) \\
&= \int_{\Gamma} r(x,y)(2\mu_{\text{can}}^{\text{dis}}(y) + \nu(y)) \\
&= 4\tau(\Gamma) - 4\tau^{\text{cts}}(\Gamma, x) + \int_{\Gamma} r(x,y)\nu(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Invoking Proposition 14.4 we deduce from this that

$$(16.3) \quad \sum_{y,z \in V(G)} r(x,y)r(x,z)L(y,z) = 4\tau(\Gamma) - \eta(\Gamma).$$

By Proposition 14.3 we have

$$(16.4) \quad 2r(x, K) + 4\tau(\Gamma) = 4hg_{\mu}(x, x) + \varepsilon(\Gamma, K).$$

Combining (16.3) and (16.4) we find

$$2r(x, K) + \sum_{y,z \in V(G)} r(x,y)r(x,z)L(y,z) = 4hg_{\mu}(x, x) + \varepsilon(\Gamma, K) - \eta(\Gamma).$$

We obtain the proposition by inserting this into (16.2). $\square$

Proposition 16.3. *Assume that $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y} = \Delta^n$ is a semistable curve, with $\bar{X}$ smooth, and with π smooth over $Y = \Delta^* \times \Delta^{n-1}$. Assume a section P of π is given, passing through the smooth locus. The Lear extension of $\langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle$ over $\bar{Y}$ exists. Assume that $n = 1$ and let η denote the eta-invariant (14.6) of the canonical polarized metric graph associated to $\bar{X}_0$. Then the equality*

$$(16.5) \quad \overline{\langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle} = \langle \overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}}, \overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}} \rangle - \eta[0]$$

holds.

Example 16.4. Recall that $\eta(\Gamma) = 0$ if and only if Γ is a tree. We obtain from (16.5) that $\overline{\langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle} = \langle \overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}}, \overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}} \rangle$ if and only if $\bar{X}_0$ has only separating nodes. An alternative way to see the “if” direction is as follows. Let $\bar{J} \rightarrow \Delta$ be the family of generalized jacobians (see Section 12) associated to $\bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$. Assuming $\bar{X}_0$ has only separating nodes, then $\bar{J} \rightarrow \Delta$ is a family of principally polarized abelian varieties, and $\mathcal{B}$ extends as a C^∞ hermitian line bundle over $\bar{J}$. Also the Abel-Jacobi map δ_P extends to a holomorphic map $\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{J}$. It follows that $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$ extends as a C^∞ hermitian line bundle over $\bar{X}$. Then [Mo99, Theorem A] allows us to conclude that $\langle \overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}}, \overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}} \rangle$ is a continuous line bundle on Δ .

Proof of Proposition 16.3. We write $\mathbf{V} = R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_X(1)$, viewed as a variation of polarized Hodge structures over Y with polarization given by the intersection product. Let h be the genus of the general fiber of π and denote by U_h the Siegel upper half-space in degree h . Let $\Omega: Y \rightarrow \Gamma \setminus U_h$ with $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}$ be the associated period map obtained by taking normalized period matrices on a symplectic frame of $\mathbf{V}$.

Let $\Sigma \subset \bar{X}$ be the singular locus of π and put $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma \cap \bar{X}_0$. By [Liu, Proposition 9.1.11] we may choose a non-zero rational section s of $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$ such that $\text{div}_X s$ is disjoint from Σ . We will apply Theorem 11.1 with both L, M equal to $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$ and with both ℓ, m equal to s . Thus, let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{e,\epsilon}\}_{e \in R}$ be a distinguished collection of open coordinate neighborhoods with centers $e \in \bar{X}_0$ associated to ϵ .

By our assumption that $\overline{\operatorname{div}_X s}$ is disjoint from Σ we can assume that the $U_{e,\epsilon}$ are small enough so that s is generating over $U_{e,\epsilon} \setminus \pi^{-1}D$ for all $e \in \Sigma_0$.

Our task is to verify that for sufficiently small ϵ each of the three conditions (a)–(c) of Theorem 11.1 is satisfied. As to condition (a), the existence of a Lear extension $\overline{\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}}$ of $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$ follows directly from Proposition 10.5.

As to condition (b), let R be the set of $e \in \bar{X}_0$ such that e occurs as a center of one of the given open neighborhoods associated to ϵ . We first work locally on a distinguished open neighborhood $U_{e,\epsilon}$ with a center $e \in R \setminus \Sigma_0$. Then over $U_{e,\epsilon} \setminus \pi^{-1}D$ the Poincaré-Lelong formula gives us

$$(16.6) \quad c_1(\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \|s\| + \delta_{\overline{\operatorname{div}_X s}}.$$

In order to study $c_1(\delta_P^* \mathcal{B})$ and its behavior near $\pi^{-1}D$ we develop $\log \|s\|$ in the coordinates $(z, t_1, \dots, t_n)$ of $U_{e,\epsilon}$. Following Proposition 5.2, this requires developing both $(\operatorname{Im} \Omega)^{-1}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \delta_P$ in these coordinates. We will turn to this task first.

Proposition 12.4(a) yields an integer $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ as well as a matrix

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A' & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \in M_h(\mathbb{Z})$$

with $A' \in M_r(\mathbb{Z})$ symmetric positive definite together with a holomorphic function $\psi: \Delta_\epsilon^n \rightarrow S_h(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\Omega(t) = \frac{A}{2\pi i} \log t_1 + \psi(t)$$

for all $t \in \Delta_\epsilon^n \setminus D$. This gives for the imaginary part of the period matrix that

$$(16.7) \quad -2\pi \operatorname{Im} \Omega(t) = A \log |t_1| + B(t)$$

away from D , where $B = -2\pi \operatorname{Im} \psi$. The function $B(t)$ is bounded and continuous on Δ_ϵ^n . Writing

$$B = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ \hline B_{21} & B_{22} \end{array} \right),$$

with $B_{11} \in M_r(\mathbb{R})$, the positive definiteness of $\operatorname{Im} \Omega(t)$ for each $t \in \Delta_\epsilon^n \setminus D$ implies that the matrices $B_{22}(t)$ are invertible away from D . Moreover, we have that the Schur complements

$$Q = A' \log |t_1| + B_{11} - B_{12} B_{22}^{-1} B_{21}$$

of B_{22} in $-2\pi \operatorname{Im} \Omega$ are invertible away from D as well. The inverse of $A \log |t_1| + B = -2\pi \operatorname{Im} \Omega$ can be written as

$$(16.8) \quad (A \log |t_1| + B)^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} Q^{-1} & -Q^{-1} B_{12} B_{22}^{-1} \\ \hline -B_{22}^{-1} B_{21} Q^{-1} & B_{22}^{-1} + B_{22}^{-1} B_{21} Q^{-1} B_{12} B_{22}^{-1} \end{array} \right).$$

Making ϵ smaller if necessary, we can write, using the boundedness of $B(t)$,

$$(16.9) \quad Q^{-1} = \frac{1}{\log |t_1|} A'^{-1} + \frac{1}{(\log |t_1|)^2} \delta(t)$$

with $\delta: \Delta_\epsilon^n \rightarrow S_r(\mathbb{R})$ a bounded continuous function. Formulae (16.8) and (16.9) taken together yield an expansion of $(\operatorname{Im} \Omega)^{-1}$ that will be sufficiently precise for our purposes.

We next turn our attention to $\operatorname{Im} \delta_P$. By Proposition 12.5(a) we have

$$\delta_P = Ab \frac{\log t_1}{2\pi i} + \alpha$$

for some holomorphic function $\alpha: U_{e,\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^h$ and $b \in \mathbb{Q}^h$. It follows that

$$-2\pi \operatorname{Im} \delta_P = Ab \log |t_1| + a$$

where $a = -2\pi \operatorname{Im} \alpha$.

By Proposition 5.2 we have

$$(16.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \log \|s\| &= \log |f| - 2\pi (\operatorname{Im} \delta_P)^t (\operatorname{Im} \Omega)^{-1} (\operatorname{Im} \delta_P) \\ &= \log |f| + (Ab \log |t_1| + a)^t (A \log |t_1| + B)^{-1} (Ab \log |t_1| + a) \end{aligned}$$

for some meromorphic f on $U_{e,\epsilon}$. In order to expand (16.10) we write

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $b_1 \in \mathbb{Q}^r$, $b_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^{h-r}$, $a_1: U_{e,\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$, $a_2: U_{e,\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{h-r}$. Using equations (16.8) and (16.9) we find that there exist unique bounded continuous functions $\sigma, \gamma: U_{e,\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the equality

$$\log \|s\| = \log |f| + b_1^t A' b_1 \log |t_1| + \sigma + \frac{1}{\log |t_1|} \gamma$$

holds on $U_{e,\epsilon}$. An explicit calculation yields that

$$(16.11) \quad \sigma = a_2^t B_{22}^{-1} a_2 + 2 a_1^t b_1 - 2 a_2^t B_{12} B_{22}^{-1} b_1 + b_1^t A' \delta A' b_1.$$

For $(1,1)$ -forms ω, ω' on $U_{e,\epsilon} \setminus \pi^{-1}D$ we write $\omega \sim_\pi \omega'$ if, upon writing in local coordinates $(z, t_1, \dots, t_n)$

$$\omega - \omega' = g dz d\bar{z} + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i dz d\bar{t}_i + \sum_{i=1}^n k_i d\bar{z} dt_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^n l_{ij} dt_i d\bar{t}_j,$$

we have $g = 0$. If $\omega \sim_\pi \omega'$ then for all $t \in \Delta_\epsilon^n \setminus D$ the restrictions of ω and ω' to $X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}$ are equal.

Combining (16.6) and (16.10) and using that

$$\frac{1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |f| + \delta_{\operatorname{div}_X s} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |t_1| = 0$$

on $U_{e,\epsilon} \setminus \pi^{-1}D$ we find that on $U_{e,\epsilon} \setminus \pi^{-1}D$ the equivalence

$$c_1(\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}) \sim_\pi \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \sigma + \frac{1}{\log |t_1|} \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \gamma$$

holds. As α is holomorphic, the entries of $\operatorname{Im} \alpha$ and hence of a_1, a_2 are annihilated by $\partial \bar{\partial}$. With this it follows from (16.11) that

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \sigma \sim_\pi \partial \bar{\partial} a_2^t B_{22}^{-1} a_2.$$

We conclude that the equivalence

$$c_1(\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}) \sim_\pi \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} a_2^t B_{22}^{-1} a_2 + \frac{1}{\log |t_1|} \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \gamma$$

holds away from $\pi^{-1}D$. Now the term $(1/\log|t_1|)\frac{1}{\pi i}\partial\bar{\partial}\gamma$ converges uniformly to zero as $t_1 \rightarrow 0$. Let $p = (0, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in D_\epsilon = \Delta_\epsilon^n \cap D$. We find that for $t \rightarrow p$ the family of smooth $(1, 1)$ -forms $c_1(\delta_P^* \mathcal{B})_t$ restricted to $U_{e,\epsilon} \setminus \pi^{-1}D$ has a well-defined limit on $\bar{X}_p \cap U_{e,\epsilon}$, namely the smooth $(1, 1)$ -form

$$(16.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial}_z a_2(z, p)^t B_{22}^{-1}(p) a_2(z, p) \\ &= \frac{-1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial}_z 2\pi (\operatorname{Im} \alpha_2)(z, p) (\operatorname{Im} \psi_{22})^{-1}(p) (\operatorname{Im} \alpha_2)(z, p). \end{aligned}$$

Let G be the dual graph of the fiber $F = \bar{X}_p$ of π above p . Let $x \in V(G)$ be the unique irreducible component of $\bar{X}_0$ that intersects $U_{e,\epsilon}$ non-trivially, and let $q(x)$ be the genus of the normalization of x . We claim that (16.12) coincides with the restriction to $x \cap U_{e,\epsilon}$ of $2q(x)$ times the Arakelov volume form (5.2) of the normalization of x . Varying e through $R \setminus \Sigma_0$ and varying p through D_ϵ this claim then shows in particular that condition (b) is verified: let F be any fiber of the projection $\pi^{-1}D \rightarrow D$. Then the first Chern current $c_1(\bar{\delta}_P^* \mathcal{B})$ of $\bar{\delta}_P^* \mathcal{B}$ on $\operatorname{Sm}(\pi)$ restricts as a smooth $(1, 1)$ -form on $F \setminus F^{\operatorname{sing}} \subset \operatorname{Sm}(\pi)$, and the smooth $(1, 1)$ -form $c_1(\bar{\delta}_P^* \mathcal{B})|_{F \setminus F^{\operatorname{sing}}}$ extends as a smooth $(1, 1)$ -form over the normalization of F .

In order to prove our claim, we invoke Propositions 12.4(b) and 12.5(b). From Proposition 12.4(b) we obtain that $\psi_{22}(p)$ is the period matrix of the jacobian $\operatorname{Jac}(\bar{X}_p)$ of the normalization $\bar{X}_p$ of $\bar{X}_p$. Proposition 12.5(b) then yields that $\alpha_2(z, p) \in \mathbb{C}^{h-r}$ is a lift of the Abel-Jacobi image $\int_P^z$ in $\operatorname{Jac}(\bar{X}_p)$. Note that (16.12) remains unchanged upon replacing the section P by any other section. Hence we may assume without loss of generality that the section P passes through x . In this case our claim follows immediately from Corollary 5.3.

Finally we consider condition (c) of Theorem 11.1. We turn our attention to a coordinate neighborhood $U_{e,\epsilon}$ with center $e \in \Sigma_0$. Our task is then to show that the function

$$\Delta_\epsilon^n \setminus D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad t \mapsto \int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} \psi(\log \|s\|) c_1(\delta_P^* \mathcal{B})$$

has a log singularity along D . We recall that ϵ is chosen small enough so that $U_{e,\epsilon}$ is disjoint from $\overline{\operatorname{div}_X s}$. Also we recall that on $U_{e,\epsilon}$ we have coordinates $(u, v, t_2, \dots, t_n)$, with equation $uv = t_1$, and projection π given by $(u, v, t_2, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (uv, t_2, \dots, t_n)$. We will need to develop $\log \|s\|$ on $U_{e,\epsilon} \setminus \pi^{-1}D$ in these coordinates. As before, this requires developing $(\operatorname{Im} \Omega)^{-1}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \delta_P$ in these coordinates. For $(\operatorname{Im} \Omega)^{-1}$ there is nothing new, and we can work with the conjunction of formulae (16.8) and (16.9) above (where now $t_1 = uv$).

The situation is different for $\operatorname{Im} \delta_P$. By Proposition 12.5(a) there exist vectors $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^h$ and a holomorphic map $\alpha: U_{e,\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^h$ such that

$$\delta_P = Ab_1 \frac{\log u}{2\pi i} + Ab_2 \frac{\log v}{2\pi i} + \alpha$$

on $U_{e,\epsilon} \setminus \pi^{-1}D$. This gives the identity

$$-2\pi \operatorname{Im} \delta_P = Ab_1 \log |u| + Ab_2 \log |v| + a$$

with $a = -2\pi \operatorname{Im} \alpha$. Combining with Proposition 5.2 we find

$$\begin{aligned} \log \|s\| &= \log |f| \\ &+ (Ab_1 \log |u| + Ab_2 \log |v| + a)^t (A \log |t_1| + B)^{-1} (Ab_1 \log |u| + Ab_2 \log |v| + a) \end{aligned}$$

on $U_{e,\epsilon} \setminus \pi^{-1}D$, where f is a meromorphic function on $U_{e,\epsilon}$. As by assumption $\overline{\operatorname{div}_X s}$ is away from $U_{e,\epsilon}$ we can write $\log |f| = c_1 \log |u| + c_2 \log |v| + \log |\nu|$ with $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and with ν a holomorphic unit on $U_{e,\epsilon}$. Using (16.8) and (16.9) and the relation $\log |v| = \log |t_1| - \log |u|$ we find unique bounded continuous functions $\sigma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ on $U_{e,\epsilon}$ and $d_1, d_2, d_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$(16.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \log \|s\| &= d_1 \log |u| + d_2 \log |v| + d_3 \frac{\log |u| \log |v|}{\log |t_1|} \\ &\quad + \log |\nu| + \sigma + \frac{1}{\log |t_1|} \gamma_1 + \frac{\log |u|}{\log |t_1|} \gamma_2 + \left(\frac{\log |u|}{\log |t_1|} \right)^2 \gamma_3 \end{aligned}$$

on $U_{e,\epsilon} \setminus \pi^{-1}D$.

We put

$$(16.14) \quad \rho(u, v) = d_1 \log |u| + d_2 \log |v| + d_3 \frac{\log |u| \log |v|}{\log |t_1|},$$

and

$$(16.15) \quad \tau(u, v, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \log |\nu| + \sigma + \frac{1}{\log |t_1|} \gamma_1 + \frac{\log |u|}{\log |t_1|} \gamma_2 + \left(\frac{\log |u|}{\log |t_1|} \right)^2 \gamma_3.$$

We note that, away from $\pi^{-1}D$,

$$(16.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\pi i} \rho(u, v) &= \frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\pi i} d_3 \frac{\log |u| (\log |t_1| - \log |u|)}{\log |t_1|} \\ &= -\frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\pi i} d_3 \frac{(\log |u|)^2}{\log |t_1|} \\ &\sim_{\pi} -d_3 \frac{1}{\log |t_1|} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{|u|^2} du d\bar{u}. \end{aligned}$$

In polar coordinates $u = re^{i\theta}$ this reads

$$(16.17) \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\pi i} \rho(u, v) \sim_{\pi} \frac{2d_3}{\log |t_1|} \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta d \log r.$$

We find

$$(16.18) \quad c_1(\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \|s\| \sim_{\pi} \frac{2d_3}{\log |t_1|} \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta d \log r + \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \tau$$

on $U_{e,\epsilon} \setminus \pi^{-1}D$.

Further we note that

$$\psi(\log \|s\|) = d_3 \frac{\log |u| \log |v|}{\log |t_1|} + \tau(u, v, t_2, \dots, t_n).$$

Our task is thus to show that

$$\int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} \left(d_3 \frac{\log |u| \log |v|}{\log |t_1|} + \tau \right) \left(\frac{2d_3}{\log |t_1|} \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta d \log r + \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \tau \right)$$

has a log singularity along D . First we note that τ extends as a bounded continuous function over $U_{e,\epsilon} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. Moreover, if we write

$$b_i = \begin{pmatrix} b_{i1} \\ b_{i2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $i = 1, 2$, with $b_{i1} \in \mathbb{Q}^r$, $b_{i2} \in \mathbb{Q}^{h-r}$, $a_1: U_{e,\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$, $a_2: U_{e,\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{h-r}$, then explicitly we have

$$\sigma = a_2^t B_{22}^{-1} a_2 + 2a_1^t b_{21} - 2a_2^t B_{12} B_{22}^{-1} b_{21} + b_{21}^t A' \delta A' b_{21}.$$

Similarly to the case $e \notin \Sigma_0$ considered above, we have

$$\partial \bar{\partial} \sigma \sim_\pi \partial \bar{\partial} a_2^t B_{22}^{-1} a_2.$$

We then obtain from (16.15) that, up to the addition of terms that converge uniformly to zero as $t_1 \rightarrow 0$, the form $\frac{1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \tau$ extends as a bounded continuous current over $U_{e,\epsilon}$. A term-by-term analysis using (16.15) reveals that each of the three fiber integrals

$$\int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} d_3 \frac{\log |u| \log |v|}{\log |t_1|} \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \tau, \quad \int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} \tau \frac{2d_3}{\log |t_1|} \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta d \log r, \quad \int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} \tau \frac{1}{\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \tau$$

extends as a continuous function over Δ_ϵ^n .

We are thus left to show that

$$\int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} d_3 \frac{\log |u| \log |v|}{\log |t_1|} \frac{2d_3}{\log |t_1|} \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta d \log r$$

acquires a log singularity along D . Note that the fiber integral, viewed as a function on $\Delta_\epsilon^n \setminus D$, depends only on the t_1 -coordinate, so that we can proceed directly to the case where $n = 1$, where we write $t_1 = t$. The whole proposition is proved once we have shown that with $n = 1$, the asymptotic estimate

$$(16.19) \quad \int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} d_3 \frac{\log |u| \log |v|}{\log |t_1|} \frac{2d_3}{\log |t_1|} \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta d \log r \sim -\eta(\Gamma, e) \log |t|$$

holds as $t \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, then condition (c) from Theorem 11.1 is verified, and moreover we find that the order of the log singularity of the function

$$\sum_{e \in \Sigma_0} \int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} \psi(\log \|s\|) c_1(\delta_P^* \mathcal{B})$$

along the origin of Δ_ϵ equals $-\sum_{e \in \Sigma_0} \eta(\Gamma, e) = -\eta(\Gamma)$. This gives formula (16.5) by the second part of Theorem 11.1.

Assume from now on therefore that $n = 1$. We explicitly compute

$$(16.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{X_t \cap U_{e,\epsilon}} \frac{\log |u| \log |v|}{\log |t|} \frac{2}{\log |t|} \frac{1}{2\pi} d\theta d \log r \\ &= \frac{2}{(\log |t|)^2} \int_{r=|t|\epsilon^{-1/2}}^{r=\epsilon^{1/2}} \log r (\log |t| - \log r) d \log r \\ &= 2 \left(-\frac{1}{12} \frac{(\log \epsilon)^3}{(\log |t|)^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\log \epsilon)^2}{\log |t|} - \frac{1}{6} \log |t| \right) \\ &\sim -\frac{1}{3} \log |t|. \end{aligned}$$

Let G be the labelled dual graph of the central fiber $\bar{X}_0$ of $\bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta_\epsilon$. Recall that we are assuming that $\bar{X}$ is smooth; this implies that each edge $e \in E(G)$ has label t , and hence the corresponding edge of Γ is isometric to the unit interval. In particular we find that $\eta(\Gamma, e) = \frac{1}{3} F(e)^2$. We see with this that from (16.20) we find (16.19) once we prove that $d_3^2 = F(e)^2$. This will be our objective in the remainder of the proof.


Let $\bar{Z} = \bar{X} \times_{\Delta_\epsilon} \bar{X}$. As we have noted before, the first projection $\pi_1: \bar{Z} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ has two tautological sections, one called $\tilde{P}$ induced by the given section P , and the diagonal section Q . The section $\tilde{P}$ induced by P passes through the smooth locus of π_1 . However, for each double point $e \in \Sigma_0$ the image (e, e) under the section Q lies in the singular locus of π_1 .

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the cokernel of the embedding $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}}(Q) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Z}}(\tilde{P})$, and put $\bar{Z}' = \text{Proj}(\text{Sym } \mathcal{K})$. Let $\beta: \bar{Z}' \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ be the induced map and let $\xi = \pi_1 \beta$. By Knudsen's specialization theorem [Kn, Theorem 2.4], the map $\beta: \bar{Z}' \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ is a contraction, and moreover admits liftings $\tilde{P}', Q'$ of the sections $\tilde{P}, Q$ such that $\tilde{P}'$ and Q' are disjoint, and pass through the smooth locus of ξ .


Let $e \in \Sigma_0$. We are interested in the precise structure of the labelled dual graph of ξ over e . Let G_e be the labelled dual graph of the fiber of π_1 above e . Note that G_e has the same underlying graph as G , but has the label t on each edge of G replaced by the monomial uv . We let w, z be the endpoints of the tautological edge e of G_e ; say that the branch of $\bar{X}_0$ through e given by $u = 0$ corresponds to the endpoint w of e , and the branch given by $v = 0$ corresponds to the endpoint z of e .

Let $\tilde{G}_e$ denote the labelled dual graph of ξ over e . The proof of Theorem 2.4 in [Kn] shows that β only modifies $\bar{Z}$ over points where Q meets the singular locus of π_1 (called “Case I” in [Kn]) or over points where $\tilde{P}$ and Q meet (“Case II”). In the fiber of $\bar{Z}$ over e “Case I” applies, the modified point being (e, e) . The explicit calculation in “Case I” of the proof of Theorem 2.4 in [Kn] shows then that the inverse image of (e, e) under β is a rational component y of the fiber of ξ above e , and that $\tilde{G}_e$ is obtained from G_e by replacing the tautological edge e (carrying label uv) of G_e by two edges, one, which we call e_w , with label u attached to it, and with endpoints w and y ; and one, which we call e_z , with label v attached to it, and with endpoints y and z .

In more graphical terms, in order to obtain $\tilde{G}_e$ from G_e one has to replace the piece



of G_e by the piece



and leave everything else untouched. Note that the section $\tilde{P}'$ of ξ specializes onto the vertex x of $\tilde{G}_e$, and the section Q' of ξ specializes onto the new vertex y .

Let a, b be two formal variables and let $\tilde{G}_e^{a,b}$ be the labelled graph obtained from $\tilde{G}_e$ by replacing the label u by a , the label v by b , and each label uv by $a + b$. Let $r(x, y)(a, b) \in \mathbb{Q}(a, b)$ be the effective resistance between the vertices x and y on $\tilde{G}_e^{a,b}$. Then $r(x, y)(a, b)$ is a rational homogeneous function of weight one in a, b . Let $r(p, q) \in \mathbb{Q}$ denote the effective resistance between vertices p, q of G . Then we have that $r(x, y)(a, 0) = r(x, z)a$ and $r(x, y)(0, b) = r(x, w)b$. Imitating the

derivation of formula (13.5) we find the explicit formula

$$(16.21) \quad r(x, y)(a, b) = r(x, z)a + r(x, w)b + F(e)\frac{ab}{a+b}$$

for $r(x, y)(a, b)$. From the construction of $\beta: \bar{Z}' \rightarrow \bar{Z}$ we deduce that $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$ coincides with the restriction to X of the line bundle $\langle \mathcal{O}(\tilde{P}' - Q'), \mathcal{O}(\tilde{P}' - Q') \rangle^{\otimes -1}$ on $\bar{X}$. Using again [Liu, Proposition 9.1.11] we change the rational section s in such a way that s , when seen as a rational section of the line bundle $\langle \mathcal{O}(\tilde{P}' - Q'), \mathcal{O}(\tilde{P}' - Q') \rangle^{\otimes -1}$ on $\bar{X}$, is generating on each distinguished open neighborhood $U_{e, \epsilon}$ around each node $e \in \Sigma_0$, for small enough ϵ .

We then claim that the equalities

$$(16.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho(u, v) &= -r(x, y)(\log |u|, \log |v|) \\ &= -r(x, z) \log |u| - r(x, w) \log |v| - F(e) \frac{\log |u| \log |v|}{\log |t|} \end{aligned}$$

hold. We already saw that on $U_{e, \epsilon} \setminus \pi^{-1}D$, the function $\tau(u, v, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ is bounded. From (16.13) we then obtain that $\rho(u, v) = d_1 \log |u| + d_2 \log |v| + d_3 \frac{\log |u| \log |v|}{\log |t|}$ can be characterized as the unique rational linear combination of $\log |u|$, $\log |v|$ and $\log |u| \log |v| / \log |t|$ such that on $U_{e, \epsilon} \setminus \pi^{-1}D$ an equality

$$(16.23) \quad \log \|s\|(u, v) = \rho(u, v) + \text{a bounded function}$$

holds.

For each pair of positive integers (m, n) , let $\bar{f}_{m, n}: \Delta_\epsilon \rightarrow U_{e, \epsilon} \subset \bar{X}$ be the holomorphic test curve given by sending $q \mapsto (q^m, q^n)$. Let $\xi_{m, n}: \bar{Z}'_{m, n} \rightarrow \Delta_\epsilon$ together with sections $\tilde{P}'_{m, n}$ and $Q'_{m, n}$ be the pullback of the 2-pointed semistable curve $\xi: \bar{Z}' \rightarrow \bar{X}$ along the map $\bar{f}_{m, n}$. Let $\tilde{G}_e^{m, n}$ be the weighted graph obtained from $\tilde{G}_e^{a, b}$ by replacing each occurrence of a by m , and each occurrence of b by n . Then we note that the labelled dual graph of the fiber over the origin of $\xi_{m, n}$ is canonically identified with $\tilde{G}_e^{m, n}$. Also we note that the two sections $\tilde{P}'_{m, n}$ and $Q'_{m, n}$ pass through the smooth locus of $\xi_{m, n}$. Finally, the section $\tilde{P}'_{m, n}$ of $\xi_{m, n}$ specializes onto x , and the section $Q'_{m, n}$ of $\xi_{m, n}$ specializes onto y .

Let $f_{m, n}$ be the restriction of $\bar{f}_{m, n}$ to Δ_ϵ^* . From Proposition 10.3 we obtain that

$$(16.24) \quad \overline{f_{m, n}^* \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}} = -\langle \mathcal{O}(\tilde{P}'_{m, n} - Q'_{m, n}), \mathcal{O}(\tilde{P}'_{m, n} - Q'_{m, n}) \rangle - r(x, y)(m, n)[0].$$

As $\bar{f}_{m, n}^* s$ is a generating section of $-\langle \mathcal{O}(\tilde{P}'_{m, n} - Q'_{m, n}), \mathcal{O}(\tilde{P}'_{m, n} - Q'_{m, n}) \rangle$ around the origin, we deduce by Proposition 9.2 that

$$(\bar{f}_{m, n}^* \log \|s\|)(q) \sim -r(x, y)(m, n) \log |q|$$

as $q \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand we have

$$(\bar{f}_{m, n}^* \log \|s\|)(q) = \rho(q^m, q^n) + O(1) = (d_1 m + d_2 n + d_3 \frac{mn}{m+n}) \log |q| + O(1)$$

from (16.23). We deduce that

$$d_1 m + d_2 n + d_3 \frac{mn}{m+n} = -r(x, y)(m, n)$$

for each pair of positive integers (m, n) . From (16.21) we find that $d_1 = -r(x, z)$, $d_2 = -r(x, w)$, $d_3 = -F(e)$ and in particular we obtain (16.22). $\square$

Remark 16.5. The asymptotic expressions for $\text{Im } \Omega$, $\text{Im } \delta_P$ and $\log \|s\|$ in the above proof were found in a joint work with José Burgos Gil and David Holmes. The details of this joint work will appear elsewhere.

Remark 16.6. Let $\bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ with $\bar{X}$ smooth be a semistable curve over the unit disc, assumed to be smooth over Δ^* . Write $X = \pi^{-1}\Delta^*$. The above proof shows that the family of Arakelov measures $\mu_{\text{Ar},t}$ on the fibers X_t has a natural limit measure μ_0 on the special fiber $\bar{X}_0$. The measure μ_0 can be described as follows: let $\nu: \tilde{\bar{X}}_0 \rightarrow \bar{X}_0$ be the normalization of $\bar{X}_0$, and let M be the measure on $\tilde{\bar{X}}_0$ which on a connected component x is $q(x)$ times the Arakelov volume form on x if x has positive geometric genus $q(x)$, and the zero measure if x has genus zero. For each node e of $\bar{X}_0$ let $F(e)$ be the associated Foster coefficient. From (16.18) and the identity $d_3 = -F(e)$ we deduce that the area of the “collar” around the node e with respect to $c_1(\delta_P^* \mathcal{B})$ tends to $2F(e)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. This gives rise to the natural measure

$$\mu_0 = \frac{1}{h} \left(\nu_* M + \sum_{e \in E(G)} F(e) \delta_e \right)$$

on $\bar{X}_0$. Let G be the dual graph of $\bar{X}_0$ and (Γ, K) the associated polarized metric graph. Then we note that μ_0 naturally corresponds the measure

$$\frac{1}{h} \left(\sum_{x \in V(G)} q(x) \delta_x + \sum_{e \in E(G)} F(e) dy(e) \right)$$

on Γ . Not surprisingly, this is precisely the Zhang measure μ as defined in (14.1). Indeed, note that $K(x) = v(x) - 2 + 2q(x)$ for all $x \in V(G)$.

Remark 16.7. Let $\bar{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ with $\bar{X}$ smooth be a semistable curve over the unit disc, assumed to be smooth over Δ^* . Let P be a section of π . It follows from the above proof that $\delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$ has a continuous extension over $\bar{X} \setminus \Sigma$ but not over $e \in \Sigma$ if $F(e) \neq 0$. Following terminology introduced by Hain [Ha13, Section 14] we say that “the height jumps” at the node e . In particular, from (16.24) we see that for holomorphic arcs $\bar{f}: \Delta \rightarrow \bar{X}$ with restriction f to Δ^* such that $f(\Delta^*)$ is contained in X , in general we have $\overline{f^* \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}} \neq \bar{f}^* \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}$.

Proof of Theorem 16.1. We first show that $\langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle$ has a Lear extension over $\bar{Y}$. By Lemma 9.1 it suffices to consider the case that $\bar{Y} = \Delta^n$ and $D \subset \bar{Y}$ is the smooth irreducible divisor given by $t_1 = 0$. The truth of the statement is unaffected by modifications of the total space $\bar{X}$ in the inverse image of D . By [dJ, Lemma 3.2] there exists a projective modification $\bar{X}' \rightarrow \bar{X}$ such that the composed morphism $\bar{X}' \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ is a semistable curve, smooth over $\bar{Y} \setminus D$, and with $\text{Sing}(\bar{X}')$ either empty or of codimension at least three in $\bar{X}'$. The codimension three condition implies that D is reducible (cf. Remark 3.5 of [dJ]), so, as we can assume that D is irreducible, we conclude that we can further reduce to the case that $\bar{X}$ is smooth. Then the statement follows from Proposition 16.3.

We turn next to the proof of the formula

$$\overline{\langle \delta_P^* \mathcal{B}, \delta_P^* \mathcal{B} \rangle} = 4h\psi + \kappa_1 - (4hg_\mu(x, x) + \varepsilon) [0]$$

in the case that $\bar{Y}$ is the unit disc. Also in this case we can reduce to the case that $\bar{X}$ is smooth. Indeed, upon passing to the minimal desingularization $\tilde{\bar{X}} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ of $\bar{X}$, the left hand side of the equality does not change, and neither does the right

hand side: the line bundles ψ and κ_1 remain unchanged, and by Proposition 3.2 the expression $4hg_\mu(x, x) + \varepsilon$ remain unchanged as well. In the case that $\bar{X}$ is itself smooth, the claimed formula follows upon combining the formulae in Propositions 16.3 and 16.2. $\square$

17. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

The purpose of this section is to give a proof of our main Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Localizing in the analytic topology we may assume from the outset that two sections P, Q of $\bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ are given. Proposition 10.2 and Theorem 16.1 imply that the Lear extensions of $\kappa^*\mathcal{B}$ and of $\langle \delta_P^*\mathcal{B}, \delta_P^*\mathcal{B} \rangle$ exist. From Proposition 8.5 we then deduce that the Lear extension of $\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$ exists, and that

$$(17.1) \quad 4h^2 \overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} = \overline{\langle \delta_P^*\mathcal{B}, \delta_P^*\mathcal{B} \rangle} + \overline{\kappa^*\mathcal{B}}.$$

Invoking Proposition 8.4 we next obtain that the Lear extension of $\langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$ exists, and that

$$(17.2) \quad \overline{\langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} = \overline{\langle \delta_P^*\mathcal{B}, \delta_P^*\mathcal{B} \rangle} - 4h \overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle}.$$

Proposition 10.3 states that the Lear extension of $\delta^*\mathcal{B}$ exists. Combining this with Proposition 8.2 and the existence of $\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle}$ and $\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle}$ established above gives that the Lear extension of $\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$ exists. More precisely we find the equality

$$(17.3) \quad 2 \overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} = \overline{\delta^*\mathcal{B}} - \overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} - \overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle}$$

on $\bar{Y}$. This settles part (a) of the main result. Specializing to the case when the base is the unit disc, we have the following more precise formulae. Let G be the dual graph of $\bar{X}_0$, and let (Γ, K) be the associated polarized metric graph. Let g_μ be the Arakelov-Green's function of (Γ, K) and let $\bar{g}$ be the Green's function on G introduced in Section 10 using the pseudo-inverse of the discrete Laplacian on G . Then for any two divisors $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$ of degree zero on G we obtain from (10.1) and (14.3) the identities

$$(17.4) \quad \bar{g}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) = -\frac{1}{2}r(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) = g_\mu(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}).$$

Let ε be the epsilon-invariant of G . From Proposition 10.2 combined with (17.4) we obtain that

$$(17.5) \quad \overline{\kappa^*\mathcal{B}} = 4h(h-1)\psi - \kappa_1 - g_\mu((2h-2)x - K, (2h-2)x - K)[0],$$

and from Theorem 16.1 we recall that

$$(17.6) \quad \overline{\langle \delta_P^*\mathcal{B}, \delta_P^*\mathcal{B} \rangle} = 4h\psi + \kappa_1 - (4hg_\mu(x, x) + \varepsilon)[0].$$

Together with (17.1) we then find

$$(17.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 4h^2 \overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} &= \overline{\langle \delta_P^*\mathcal{B}, \delta_P^*\mathcal{B} \rangle} + \overline{\kappa^*\mathcal{B}} \\ &= 4h^2\psi - (4hg_\mu(x, x) + \varepsilon + g_\mu((2h-2)x - K, (2h-2)x - K))[0]. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition (14.2) we recall that

$$\varepsilon = 4(h-1)(g_\mu(x, x) + g_\mu(K, x)) - g_\mu(K, K).$$

We obtain from this that

$$4hg_\mu(x, x) + \varepsilon + g_\mu((2h-2)x - K, (2h-2)x - K) = 4h^2g_\mu(x, x).$$

Plugging this in in (17.7) we find that

$$(17.8) \quad \overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} = \psi - g_\mu(x, x)[0],$$

which settles part (c) of the main result. Combining (17.2), (17.6) and (17.8) we further obtain

$$\overline{\langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} = \kappa_1 - \varepsilon[0]$$

which settles part (b). Write $\psi_1 = \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \omega \rangle$ and $\psi_2 = \langle \mathcal{O}(Q), \omega \rangle$. From Proposition 10.3 we obtain that

$$(17.9) \quad \overline{\delta^* \mathcal{B}} = 2\langle \mathcal{O}(P), \mathcal{O}(Q) \rangle + \psi_1 + \psi_2 - g_\mu(x - y, x - y)[0].$$

Combining (17.3), (17.8) and (17.9) gives that

$$\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} = \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \mathcal{O}(Q) \rangle + g_\mu(x, y)[0],$$

which settles part (d). This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3. $\square$

18. COROLLARIES OF THE MAIN RESULT

In this section we prove the results stated in the Introduction as corollaries of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_h)_t$ be the family of normalized bases of the Hodge bundle $\pi_*\omega_{X/\Delta^*}$ determined by some symplectic frame of $R^1\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_X$. Write $\sigma = \omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_h$ and let λ_H denote the determinant of $\pi_*\omega_{X/\Delta^*}$ over Δ^* equipped with the norm derived from the L^2 -metric (5.1) on $\pi_*\omega_{X/\Delta^*}$. By equation (5.8) we have

$$\log \|\sigma\|_H = \frac{1}{2} \log \det \text{Im } \Omega(t)$$

for all $t \in \Delta^*$. This equality together with the growth rate

$$\det \text{Im } \Omega(t) \sim -c \log |t|$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$ that follows from the Nilpotent Orbit Theorem (cf. Proposition 12.4) shows that σ extends as a generating section of the canonical Mumford extension [Mu77b] of λ_H over Δ . According to [FC, p. 225] the Mumford extension of λ_H equals λ_1 , and so the section σ extends as a generating section of λ_1 over Δ . The Mumford isomorphism (6.9) gives a globally trivializing section μ of the hermitian line bundle $\lambda_H^{\otimes 12} \otimes \langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes -1}$ over Δ^* . We recall that by definition the Faltings delta-invariant satisfies

$$\delta_F = -\log \|\mu\|.$$

Let τ be a local generating section of κ_1 around 0. Then from part (b) in Theorem 1.3 combined with Proposition 9.2 we obtain the asymptotics

$$\log \|\tau\| \sim -\varepsilon \log |t|$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. From (6.9) we recall that the section μ extends as a global trivializing section of the line bundle $12\lambda_1 - \kappa_1 - \delta[0]$ over Δ . We derive from this that $t^{-\delta}\mu$ is a local generating section of $12\lambda_1 - \kappa_1$. Hence $t^{-\delta}\mu$ differs by a holomorphic unit from the local generating section $\sigma^{\otimes 12} \otimes \tau^{\otimes -1}$ of $12\lambda_1 - \kappa_1$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \log |t| + \delta_F &= -\log \|t^{-\delta}\mu\| \sim -12 \log \|\sigma\|_H + \log \|\tau\| \\ &\sim -6 \log \det \text{Im } \Omega(t) - \varepsilon \log |t|, \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows. $\square$

Remark 18.1. Denote by λ_Q the determinant of the Hodge bundle $\pi_*\omega_{X/\Delta^*}$ equipped with the Quillen metric [De, Section 10] [Qu]. By Deligne's functorial Riemann-Roch [De, Théorème 11.4] we have with the induced metric on $\lambda_Q^{\otimes 12} \otimes \langle \omega_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle^{\otimes -1}$ that $-\log \|\mu\|$ is a constant depending only on the genus h . In particular we have the identity

$$12 \log \|\cdot\|_Q = 12 \log \|\cdot\|_H + \delta_F$$

up to an additive constant depending only on h . We deduce from the above proof that

$$12 \log \|\sigma\|_Q \sim -(\delta + \varepsilon) \log |t|$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$. It is instructive to compare this result with a general asymptotic result for the Quillen metric in families of curves with semistable degeneration due to J.-M. Bismut and J.-B. Bost [BB, Corollaire 2.3]. When applied to our situation this result implies the following. Assume that the metric on ω_{Ar} extends in a C^∞ manner over $\bar{X}$. Then the asymptotics

$$12 \log \|\sigma\|_Q \sim -\delta \log |t|$$

holds. We see that ε measures the failure for the metric on ω_{Ar} to extend in a C^∞ manner over $\bar{X}$. Following [Zh, Theorem 4.4] we have that $\varepsilon \geq 0$, with equality if and only if the special fiber is smooth, or the generic fiber has genus one. We conclude that, unless the special fiber is smooth, or the generic fiber has genus one, the metric on ω_{Ar} does not extend in a C^∞ manner over $\bar{X}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (a) Part (c) of Theorem 1.3 gives that

$$\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} = \psi - g_\mu(x, x)[0].$$

By construction we have that P^*dz is a local generating section of the line bundle $\psi = P^*\omega = \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \omega \rangle$ around the origin. Moreover we have a canonical isometry $P^*\omega_{\text{Ar}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \omega_{\text{Ar}} \rangle$ over Δ^* . Applying Proposition 9.2 we then find the asymptotics

$$\log \|P^*dz\|_{\text{Ar}, t} \sim -g_\mu(x, x) \log |t|$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, as required.

(b) Part (d) of Theorem 1.3 gives that

$$\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(P)_{\text{Ar}}, \mathcal{O}(Q)_{\text{Ar}} \rangle} = \langle \mathcal{O}(P), \mathcal{O}(Q) \rangle + g_\mu(x, y)[0].$$

As by assumption P, Q have empty intersection above the origin, we have that $\langle 1_P, 1_Q \rangle$ is a local generating section of the Deligne pairing $\langle \mathcal{O}(P), \mathcal{O}(Q) \rangle$ around the origin. Applying again Proposition 9.2 we obtain the asymptotics

$$g_{\text{Ar}, t}(P, Q) = \log \|\langle 1_P, 1_Q \rangle\|_t \sim g_\mu(x, y) \log |t|$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, as required. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. For this we refer to the end of Section 15. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We consider the universal stable curve $\bar{Z}_{h,n} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}_{h,n}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2$. By Theorem 1.3(a), as the $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{h,n}$ are smooth over $\mathbb{C}$ [Kn, Theorem 2.7], we find that the claimed Lear extensions exist. By Corollary 9.4 we can compute the claimed Lear extensions using test curves. Recall that the various components of the boundary divisor in $\bar{\mathcal{M}}_{h,n}$ correspond to dual graphs of stable curves with precisely one singular point. The Zhang Arakelov-Green's function and epsilon-invariant are

calculated for polarized metric graphs with precisely one edge in [Mo96, Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.3] (non-separating case) resp. [Mo96, Lemma 4.4] (separating case). In the non-separating case, let a be the unique vertex of G . Then $K = (2h - 2)a$ and we have

$$\varepsilon = \frac{h-1}{3h}, \quad g_\mu(a, a) = \frac{1}{12h^2}.$$

In the separating case, let a, b be the two vertices of G . Suppose that the genus of vertex a is i , and that of vertex b is $h - i$. Then $K = (2i - 1)a + (2(h - i) - 1)b$ and we have

$$\varepsilon = \frac{4i(h-i)}{h} - 1$$

and

$$g_\mu(a, a) = \frac{(h-i)^2}{h^2}, \quad g_\mu(b, b) = \frac{i^2}{h^2}, \quad g_\mu(a, b) = \frac{i(h-i)}{h^2}.$$

Using these formulae, the identities in Theorem 1.5 readily follow from Theorem 1.3(b)–(d) in combination with Corollary 9.4. $\square$

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank José Burgos Gil and David Holmes for valuable discussions.

REFERENCES

- [ACG] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. Griffiths, *Geometry of algebraic curves. Volume II*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 268. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [Ar] S. Y. Arakelov, *An intersection theory for divisors on an arithmetic surface*. Izv. Akad. USSR 86 (1974), 1164–1180.
- [BF] M. Baker, X. Faber, *Metric properties of the tropical Abel-Jacobi map*. J. Algebraic Combin. 33 (2011), no. 3, 349–381.
- [BB] J.-M. Bismut, J.-B. Bost, *Fibrés déterminants, métriques de Quillen et dégénérescence des courbes*. Acta Math. 165 (1990), no. 1-2, 1–103.
- [Ca] E. Cattani, *Mixed Hodge structures, compactifications and monodromy weight filtration*. In: Topics in transcendental algebraic geometry (Princeton, N.J., 1981/1982), 75–100, Ann. of Math. Stud., 106, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984.
- [CR] T. Chinburg, R. Rumely, *The capacity pairing*. J. reine angew. Math. 434 (1993), 1–44.
- [De] P. Deligne, *Le déterminant de la cohomologie*. In: Current trends in arithmetical algebraic geometry (Arcata, Calif., 1985), Contemp. Math. 67 (1987), 93–177.
- [DM] P. Deligne, D. Mumford, *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 36 (1969), 75–109.
- [Fa] G. Faltings, *Calculus on arithmetic surfaces*. Ann. of Math. 119 (1984), no. 2, 387–424.
- [FC] G. Faltings, C.-L. Chai, *Degeneration of abelian varieties*. With an appendix by David Mumford. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), 22. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [Fr] R. Friedman, *The period map at the boundary of moduli*. In: Topics in transcendental algebraic geometry (Princeton, N.J., 1981/1982), 183–208, Ann. of Math. Stud., 106, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1984.
- [Ha03] R. Hain, *Periods of limit mixed Hodge structures*. Current developments in mathematics, 2002, 113–133, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2003.
- [Ha13] R. Hain, *Normal functions and the geometry of moduli spaces of curves*. In: G. Farkas and I. Morrison (eds.), Handbook of Moduli, Volume I. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Volume XXIV, International Press, Boston, 2013.
- [Ho] D. Holmes, *Néron models of jacobians over base schemes of dimension greater than 1*. Preprint, [arxiv:1402.0647](https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.0647).
- [HdJ] D. Holmes, R. de Jong, *Asymptotics of the Néron height pairing*. Preprint, [arxiv:1304.4768](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.4768). To appear in Math. Res. Lett.
- [dJ] A.J. de Jong, *Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 83 (1996), 51–93.

- [Jo] J. Jorgenson, *Asymptotic behavior of Faltings's delta function*, Duke Math. J. **61** (1990), 1, 303–328.
- [KM] F. Knudsen, D. Mumford, *The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves. I. Preliminaries on "det" and "Div"*. Math. Scand. 39 (1976), no. 1, 19–55.
- [Kn] F. Knudsen, *The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves. II. The stacks $M_{g,n}$* . Math. Scand. 52 (1983), no. 2, 161–199.
- [Le] D. Lear, *Extensions of normal functions and asymptotics of the height pairing*. PhD thesis, University of Washington, 1990.
- [Liu] Q. Liu, *Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves*. Oxford University Press, 2006.
- [MB85] L. Moret-Bailly, *Métriques permises*. In: Séminaire sur les pinceaux arithmétiques, Astérisque 127 (1985), 29–88.
- [MB89] L. Moret-Bailly, *La formule de Noether pour les surfaces arithmétiques*, Inv. Math. 98 (1989), 491–498.
- [Mo96] A. Moriwaki, *A sharp slope inequality for general stable fibrations of curves*. J. reine angew. Math. 480 (1996), 177–195.
- [Mo99] A. Moriwaki, *The continuity of Deligne's pairing*. Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1999, no. 19, 1057–1066.
- [Mu77a] D. Mumford, *Stability of projective varieties*. l'Ens. Math. (2) 23 (1977), no. 1-2, 39–110.
- [Mu77b] D. Mumford, *Hirzebruch's proportionality theorem in the noncompact case*. Invent. Math. 42 (1977), 239–272.
- [Pe] G. Pearlstein, *Variations of mixed Hodge structure, Higgs fields, and quantum cohomology*. manuscripta math. 102 (2000), no. 3, 269–310.
- [PS] C. Peters, J. Steenbrink, *Mixed Hodge structures*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, 52. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [Qu] D. Quillen, *Determinants of Cauchy-Riemann operators on Riemann surfaces*. Functional Anal. Appl. 19 (1985), no. 1, 31–34.
- [SZ] J. Steenbrink, S. Zucker, *Variation of mixed Hodge structure. I*. Invent. Math. 80 (1985), no. 3, 489–542.
- [We] R. Wentworth, *The asymptotics of the Arakelov-Green's function and Faltings' delta invariant*. Comm. Math. Phys. 137 (1991), 427–459.
- [Zh] S. Zhang, *Admissible pairing on a curve*. Invent. Math. 112 (1993), 171–193.

Address of the author:

Mathematical Institute
 Leiden University
 PO Box 9512
 2300 RA Leiden
 The Netherlands

Email: `rdejong@math.leidenuniv.nl`